

Consonant Codes in 267 Sino-Tibetan Languages

Thirteen branches and two consonants: the family with the best structure and the worst instrument

Alejandro Toledo Martínez · ORCID 0009-0000-1277-9697 · Integrative Corpus · 2026

Abstract

We present a catalogue of 10,497 consonant codes grouping 99,061 lexical forms from 267 Sino-Tibetan languages, built with no etymology, no proto-forms and no cognacy judgements.

This family has at once the best and the worst profile in the series, and both for the same reason. Its thirteen branches — nine with nine or more languages, the largest at 38% — give the richest genealogical material of the eight families measured: 1,819 codes cross three or more branches. And its words are the shortest, 2.22 consonants per form, with the highest collision, 71.9%: shuffling concepts within each language already groups 42.0% of the forms, against 4.7% for Afro-Asiatic.

The widest-reaching codes reproduce the comparanda Sino-Tibetan comparison established a century ago, crossing six to ten branches and having reconstructed nothing: $m \cdot n$ for *name* in 53 languages — *miŋ* —, $l \cdot n$ for *stone* in 44 — *luŋ* —, $m \cdot k$ for *smoke* in 46.

And the figure of 1,819 is not published alone: 58% of those codes are a single consonant, and the crossing rate falls with arity — 28.0% for unary, 14.4% for binary, 3.3% for ternary. The shorter the code, the more it crosses, which is what one expects if crossing comes from availability rather than inheritance. The defensible figure is 762.

This work also carries a prediction registered before anything about this family was computed, to test out of sample a finding from the previous paper. It is confirmed in its principal part and fails in a secondary one, and the previous paper is corrected by what is measured here.

1. What is sought, and why this family

The procedure is described once for the whole series in "The catalogue of consonantal codes" and is not repeated. The essentials: a code is a consonant skeleton appearing for the same concept in three or more distinct languages, and it is not claimed that its members are cognate, that they descend from a proto-form, or that the code means anything.

The series plan called this family "the grand prize", and also deferred it. It had the best branch structure in the corpus and the worst instrument cost at the same time, and was left for fourth place "because by then the three decisions it needs — segmentation, tone and branches — will have been taken in families where they cost less". They have been: automatic segmentation was diagnosed and left out of the catalogue, tone was declared a dimension the skeleton does not capture, and branch structure was worked first in Pama-Nyungan and Nuclear Trans New Guinea.

2. The population

267 languages · 200,339 forms · 2,737 concepts · 13 branches.

Branch	Languages	Forms
Burmo-Qiangic	102	91,002
Kuki-Chin-Naga	38	30,878
Sinitic	33	28,610
Bodic	23	15,684
Kho-Bwa	18	9,391
Macro-Bai	17	7,300
Karenic	14	2,555
Himalayish	10	5,020
Brahmaputran	9	5,984
Nungish · Digarish · Kman-Meyor · Macro-Tani	2+2+1+1	2,541

100% of the forms obtain a skeleton, and no language is excluded. The largest branch is 38% — the best balance in the series, against 51% for NTNG and 72% for Chadic — and nine branches have nine or more languages.

A single macroarea: the anomaly list of §6.1-bis does not exist here.

2.1 Thirteen branches, not fourteen

The corpus carried **Kho–Bwa** with seventeen languages and **Khobwa** with one — Miji Nafra, 1,520 forms. It is the same branch with and without a hyphen, and Miji belongs to the Kho-Bwa group.

This is not cosmetic: a branch written two ways counts as two, isolates a language in a branch of its own, and distorts any measure of "codes crossing N branches" — which is precisely the most important section of this paper. Declared as a corpus fact. Verified by normalising the branch labels of all 225 families: it is the only case.

2.2 Two Sinitic languages flagged by distance, and none excluded

The geographic check proposed excluding **Ha_erbin** and **Shenyang**, at 3,258 and 2,793 km from the family's centre. They are north-eastern Mandarin: fully Sinitic, and far because the family stretches from Pakistan to north-eastern China, with a median of 628 km from the centre.

It is the fourth time in the series this check has proposed too much — in Afro-Asiatic it wanted to delete Semitic entirely, 30 of 112 languages. The intruder it did get right, the Tai-Kadai language inside Uralic, was flagged by three checks at once: distance, tone digits, and its own forms. That is the difference, not a threshold: in an extensive family, being far from the centre is normal.

Corrected for the whole series: distance is now a signal that accompanies rather than decides, and only proposes when another independent check flags the same language. Verified that Uralic still catches its intruder.

3. What the instrument costs here

Mean arity: 2.22 consonants per form. The lowest of the eight families. Collision: 71.9%, the highest. More than two in three forms share a skeleton with another concept within their own language.

The two figures are the same thing said twice, and everything else follows. Extreme cases: k in Ng’ga Kant-thayung covers eleven concepts — STINKING, bitter, die, drink, eat...; chū in Ntenyi, nine; zi^{21} in Kua-nsi, nine.

3.1 Tone, which the skeleton does not capture

137 of the 267 languages mark tone. The skeleton discards it, as it discards vowels, and that must be said where the object is defined and not in a note: in a family where tone is contrastive, the consonant skeleton sees less than the language distinguishes.

And tone arrives written two ways: superscripts (zi^{21} , ni^{33}) and ASCII digits (an4, sɔ42, te22_mok44) — 17,392 forms in 69 languages with the second convention. For the skeleton it makes no difference, since both are in the list of marks it ignores. For reading the family it does: “does this language have tone” is a question about the source before it is one about the language.

3.2 And a bug this tone uncovered, with consequences for the whole series

The normalisation table each paper publishes is derived by aligning each form with its skeleton. In this family only 42% of the forms aligned, discarding 115,204, and the footnote attributed them to “prenasals, glides and affricates”.

The cause was different: the tone marks were being counted as consonant candidates. The skeleton ignores them correctly, but the alignment script did not have the same list and shifted the whole form from the first mark onward. Fixed by importing the real list instead of repeating it, alignment goes from 42% to 100%.

It is the same bug that already appeared with the compound marker + in the Turkic paper, and it recurred for the same reason: a list repeated in two places. The tables of all eight families in the series were regenerated.

4. The catalogue

10,497 codes over 2,737 concepts, grouping 99,061 of 198,742 forms (49.8%). Of these, 6,302 are binary or ternary and 3,770 are unary: 35.9%.

Arity	Codes	%
1	3,770	35.9%
2	4,979	47.4%
3	1,323	12.6%
4	361	3.4%
5+	64	0.6%

Precision: 3.90% against 0.108% chance = $36 \times [36 - 36 \times \text{across seeds}]$.

And on grouping without the three-language threshold: 61.7% observed against 42.0% null — an advantage of 19.8 points, $1.5 \times$ chance, $\kappa = 0.340$.

4.1 The widest-reaching codes are a single consonant, and cross thirteen branches

The twelve of widest reach, unfiltered:

Code	Concept	Languages	Arity	Branches
n	<i>thou</i>	165	1	13
s	<i>die</i>	163	1	13
n	<i>I</i>	154	1	11
m	<i>mother</i>	125	1	10
s	<i>eat</i>	125	1	9
n	<i>fish</i>	124	1	11
n	<i>five</i>	115	1	8
s	<i>ten</i>	113	1	9
s	<i>blood</i>	111	1	8
m	<i>fire</i>	105	1	8
n	<i>two</i>	105	1	9
s	<i>salt</i>	102	1	7

Twelve of twelve are unary, and the first two cross all thirteen branches of the family.

A code crossing thirteen branches looks like the best genealogical candidate imaginable. It is not, and the reason is measured in §6.1: a single consonant crosses branches trivially. The catalogue cannot distinguish a genuine monoconsonantal etymon from a coincidence of availability — the Afro-Asiatic paper showed this with Chadic ɭ | cow, which is the lateral ɭ of a real etymon — and in this family that indistinction takes the entire top of the list.

5. A registered prediction, and its verdict

The previous paper in this series — Nuclear Trans New Guinea — claimed, over seven families, that the null of grouping explodes below three consonants per word. That finding was built with those seven: it is description, not prediction.

Sino-Tibetan offered the chance to turn it into a prediction, because its profile places it at arity 2.22 — lower than any of the seven — and nothing about it had yet been computed. The prediction was written and dated before the grouping was run (`runs/prediccion-escaalon-aridad.md`), with a declared magnitude and not only a direction:

Predicted	Observed	
null > 30%	42.0%	?
advantage < 2.5×	1.5×	?
$\kappa < 0.30$	0.340	?

The principal one is confirmed comfortably. The three families below arity 2.8 give nulls of 37%, 41% and 44%; the five above 3.0, between 4.7% and 15%.

Family	Arity	Groups	Null	κ
Sino-Tibetan	2.22	61.7%	42.0%	0.340
Nuclear Trans New Guinea	2.41	51.9%	37.4%	0.232
Austronesian	2.79	64.8%	44.0%	0.371
Turkic	3.03	56.9%	15.0%	0.493
Afro-Asiatic	3.16	29.7%	4.7%	0.262
Uralic	3.26	33.9%	5.9%	0.298
Indo-European	3.35	44.3%	12.9%	0.361
Pama-Nyungan	3.35	40.2%	14.0%	0.305

And the secondary one fails, which is what the prediction contributes. κ comes out at 0.340, above the declared 0.30. The reason: the null and κ do not move together. This family has a higher null than NTNG — 42.0% against 37.4% — and at the same time a higher κ — 0.340 against 0.232 — because its raw grouping is also higher. The prediction conflated them, and having written it beforehand is the only thing that made that visible: written as a direction alone, it would have been taken as confirmed.

The precision that follows: the step is a regularity of the null, not of performance. Read as "the method performs worse with short words", Sino-Tibetan disproves it — $\kappa = 0.340$ is better than Afro-Asiatic (0.262) and Uralic (0.298), at the lowest arity of the eight.

5.1 And a claim in the previous paper is falsified

That same paper claimed that the drop from automatic segmentation follows the same axis as the null: the shorter the word, the more it destroys. With all eight families:

Family	Arity	$\Delta\kappa$ from segmentation
Afro-Asiatic	3.16	−0.004
Turkic	2.85	−0.009
Sino-Tibetan	2.22	−0.020
Uralic	3.27	−0.035
Indo-European	3.35	−0.094
Pama-Nyungan	3.35	−0.102
Nuclear Trans New Guinea	2.41	−0.118
Austronesian	2.78	−0.151

The two shortest-word families sit at opposite ends — 2.22 at −0.020 and 2.41 at −0.118 — and the two longest-word ones in the middle. The association does not exist. With seven families a pattern was visible that the eighth breaks, and the NTNG paper is corrected in both its versions.

6. What the family gives

6.1 The best genealogical material in the series, and its honest decomposition

1,819 codes cross three or more branches, and 657 cross four. That is more than double what the series plan estimated and far above Pama-Nyungan's 349.

But it is not published alone. Decomposed by arity:

Arity	Codes	Cross ≥ 3 branches	Rate
1 (unary)	3,770	1,054	28.0%
2	4,979	719	14.4%
3	1,323	43	3.3%
4+	425	3	0.7%

58% of those that cross are unary, and the crossing rate falls by a factor of nine between unary and ternary. The shorter the code, the more it crosses — exactly what one expects if crossing comes from availability rather than inheritance, and the opposite of what would be expected if it measured genealogical depth.

The defensible figure is 762: the binary and ternary codes crossing three or more branches. It nearly matches the 755 the plan estimated, and it is the one this paper uses.

6.2 And the widest-reaching ones are the textbook comparanda

Concept	Code	Languages	Branches	The four largest, in languages
<i>name</i>	m·n	53	9	Kuki-Chin-Naga 18 · Bodic 18 · Brahmaputran 5 · Burmo-Qiangic 4
<i>smoke</i>	m·k	46	6	Burmo-Qiangic 31 · Kuki-Chin-Naga 9 · Karenic 3 · Digarish 1
<i>stone</i>	l·n	44	10	Kuki-Chin-Naga 19 · Kho-Bwa 7 · Himalayish 6 · Brahmaputran 3

m·n is Sino-Tibetan *miŋ* 'name'; l·n is *luŋ* 'stone'; m·k is *mVk* 'smoke'. The procedure did not look for them: they emerged from requiring a skeleton to repeat for the same concept across three languages. And they cross six, nine and ten branches while being binary, which is what separates them from the unary codes of §4.1: there the reach comes from one consonant being available everywhere; here it comes from two, and from the same two.

The first version of this table said "four branches", and that was my misreading of the run. The `codigos_hermanos.py` table showed only the four largest branches and counted forms rather than languages — which is why m·n appeared with 71 spread over four when it has 53 languages over nine. The script now prints the branch total alongside the four it shows, so the list cannot be read as complete again.

The family's highest-enrichment code spanning seven branches is **s·r·m** for *otter*, 18 languages at $596\times$ — Tibeto-Burman *sram*. It is ternary, crosses seven branches, and appears in no reach ranking: the ordering by reach, which is the annexe's, does not see it.

6.3 Substitution pairs: 4,550 disjoint candidates

Of 5,200 pairs of codes for the same concept differing in exactly one position, 4,550 are disjoint in languages and only those can be proposed as an isogloss. That is triple NTNG's and ten times Afro-Asiatic's, and the reason is structure: thirteen branches.

The widest-reaching ones cluster around the same etyma: m·j m·n and b·n m·n in *name*, l·m l·n in *stone*, b·k m·k in *smoke*. The candidate substitutions are **j n, b m, m n, l m** — nasals and labials.

6.4 Emergent classes: the family produces none, and here is why that is said

Pair	Times (discover)	Ratio	Times (check)	Ratio
s ↔ θ	340	3.2×	665	8.8×
v ↔ x	3,230	9.8×	1,652	6.9×
b ↔ pf	178	12.8×	63	6.3×
b ↔ p	6,344	4.2×	5,399	4.9×
q ↔ x	504	4.2×	417	4.8×
f ↔ pf	71	21.6×	11	4.7×
b ↔ d	229	18.2×	41	4.5×

The pairs survive validation on languages never looked at: nine of them exceed $3\times$ in the check set, and the three that rise most — s↔θ, v↔x, b↔pf — spread across different places of articulation. They are not regular correspondences — environment and direction are missing — but candidates, with the place to look.

And with all that, this family is published WITH NO emergent classes. The closure of the pairs surviving above $2\times$ puts 23 of the 39 symbols into a single class, and a class of twenty-three segments informs of nothing. It is the same result as Turkic and Uralic, and it is declared the same way: `ci/c` `classes.py` carries an empty dictionary for Sino-Tibetan, which is a statement and not a gap.

6.4-bis The class that did come out was a fault of ours, and this review withdrew it

The first version of this section published $\mathfrak{n} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ at $8.1\times$ and $8.5\times$ as the family's second class, and it was the only one surviving the closure: $\{\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}\}$, two clean segments against a magma of twenty-three.

It was not a finding about the family. $\mathfrak{n}$ is the alveolo-palatal nasal in Sinological notation, the same sound as IPA $\mathfrak{n}$. `recompute_skeleton.py` merges $\mathfrak{n}$ into $\mathfrak{n}$ — and merges $\mathfrak{c}$ and $\mathfrak{z}$, the fricatives of that same series, into $\mathfrak{s}$ — but its canonical table had no $\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathfrak{t}$, $\mathfrak{d}$ or $\mathfrak{l}$. The same file declares the equivalence in its OAS class layer, in a comment reading literally “+Sinological alveolo-palatals: $\mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$, $\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$, $\mathfrak{t} / \mathfrak{d} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}$ ”. The decision was taken in one layer and not applied in the other.

Fixed — 3,923 forms across five families, backup `skeleton_bak_alvpal_20260909` — the pair disappears and with it the only clean class. No published family moves: the 20 Uralic forms affected belong to the doculect phase 0 already excluded.

What changed in this paper's figures on re-running every phase: 10,511 $\rightarrow$ 10,497 codes, coverage 49.5% $\rightarrow$ 49.8%, grouping 61.4% $\rightarrow$ 61.7%, null 41.5% $\rightarrow$ 42.0%, and $\kappa = 0.340$ in both. The verdict on the §5 prediction does not move.

7. Limits

Geography is weak, for a structural reason:

Codes shared	Pairs	Mean distance	vs chance
1	8,471	1,192 km	1.07
2	4,122	1,124 km	1.01
3–5	4,178	931 km	0.84
6–10	1,433	643 km	0.58
11–20	776	468 km	0.42
21+	1,146	431 km	0.39

Monotone, but falling only to 0.39 against NTNG's 0.09. This family stretches from Pakistan to north-eastern China; NTNG occupies a continuous area with a median of 95 km from its centre. Compactness and geographic signal are the same property seen twice, and this family confirms it from the other side.

A single macroarea: §6.1-bis does not exist.

Tone does not enter the skeleton, and 137 of 267 languages mark it. In a family where it is contrastive, the skeleton sees less than the language distinguishes, and no figure in this paper compensates for that.

35.9% of the catalogue is a single consonant, and the catalogue cannot distinguish the genuine monoconsonantal etymon from coincidence. The unary codes are counted and not read.

Burmo-Qiangic is 38% of the languages. It is the best balance in the series, and it is still a branch that weighs more than the others.

8. Conclusion

The series plan called this family “the grand prize” and deferred it for its cost. Both were true, and both are now measured: thirteen well-populated branches give 762 binary and ternary codes crossing three or more — the best genealogical material of the eight families — and 2.22 consonants per word give the highest collision and a null of 42.0%.

That the widest-reaching codes are $m \cdot n$ for *name* across nine branches and $l \cdot n$ for *stone* across ten, with no reconstruction consulted, is the same kind of convergence Afro-Asiatic gave with the triliteral root: the catalogue contains the established correspondences where there are any.

And the methodological lesson comes from the parts that did not go as expected, of which there were three. The prediction registered before computing confirmed the principal claim and failed on a secondary one, and that failure separated two things the previous paper conflated: the null follows arity, κ does not. This family falsifies a claim in that same paper about segmentation. And its own adversarial review withdrew an emergent class that was an omission in our normalisation table, and corrected a “four branches” that came from reading a truncated list as complete.

None of the three would have been visible without doing the eighth family. A series of eight is not eight times a series of one: it is the only way for the seventh to be wrong about something checkable.

References

- Brown, C. H., Holman, E. W., Wichmann, S. and Velupillai, V. (2008). Automated classification of the world’s languages. *Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung* 61.
- Dolgopolsky, A. B. (1964). Gipoteza drevnejšego rodstva jazykovyx semej Severnoj Evrazii s verojatnostnoj točki zrenija. *Voprosy Jazykoznanija* 2.
- Hammarström, H., Forkel, R., Haspelmath, M. and Bank, S. *Glottolog*. Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology.
- Hubert, L. and Arabie, P. (1985). Comparing partitions. *Journal of Classification* 2(1). — [the chance-correction this paper uses as κ]
- Jäger, G. (2018). Global-scale phylogenetic linguistic inference from lexical resources. *Scientific Data* 5.
- List, J.-M. (2012). SCA: phonetic alignment based on sound classes. In *New Directions in Logic, Language and Computation*. Springer.
- List, J.-M. (2014). *Sequence Comparison in Historical Linguistics*. Düsseldorf University Press.
- List, J.-M., Forkel, R., Greenhill, S. J. *et al.* (2022). Lexibank, a public repository of standardized wordlists. *Scientific Data* 9.
- List, J.-M., Cysouw, M. and Forkel, R. (2016). Concepticon: a resource for the linking of concept lists. *LREC*.
- Matisoff, J. A. (2003). *Handbook of Proto-Tibeto-Burman: System and Philosophy of Sino-Tibetan Reconstruction*. University of California Press. — [the comparanda of §6.2, which this work did not consult]
- Sagart, L., Jacques, G., Lai, Y. *et al.* (2019). Dated language phylogenies shed light on the ancestry of Sino-Tibetan. *PNAS* 116(21).
- Toledo Martínez, A. (2026). *The catalogue of consonantal codes: a comparative method without genealogy, cognacy or reconstruction*. — [the method of the series, described once]

Toledo Martínez, A. (2026). *Consonant Codes in 196 Trans-New Guinea Languages*. — [the previous paper, whose §5 this work tests and whose §5.1 it corrects]

Toledo Martínez, A. (2026). *Integrative Corpus: a multi-layer lexical database of 225 macrosystems, with row-level provenance and its verification apparatus*. — [the data] · <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.31244.68486>

Turchin, P., Peiros, I. and Gell-Mann, M. (2010). Analyzing genetic connections between languages by matching consonant classes. *Journal of Language Relationship* 3.

Data and reproducibility

Catalogue	familias/sino-tibetan/output/catalogo-sino-tibetan.tsv — 10,497 rows
Per-form detail	...-lenguas.tsv — 89,007 rows
Hygiene (§2.2)	pipeline/fases/fase0_higiene.py → runs/fase0-higiene.md
Branch label (§2.1)	corpus_integrativo/ci/decisiones.py::SUBGRUPOS
Normalisation table (§3.2)	pipeline/fases/tabla_normalizacion.py → runs/ normalizacion.md
Loading, population, warnings	cod/familia.py
Catalogue (§4)	pipeline/fases/catalogo_familia.py
Grouping and nulls (§4, §5)	pipeline/fases/agrupamiento_familia.py → runs/ agrupamiento.md
Registered prediction (§5)	runs/prediccion-escalon-aridad.md — dated before the grouping
κ (§5)	cod/metricas.py::kappa
Automatic segmentation (§5.1)	pipeline/fases/fase2_despiece.py → runs/fase2-despiece. md
Branch crossing (§6.1)	pipeline/fases/fase6_por_rama.py → runs/fase6-por-rama. md
Sibling codes (§6.3)	pipeline/fases/codigos_hermanos.py → runs/ codigos-hermanos.md
Emergent classes (§6.4)	pipeline/fases/fase6_clases_emergentes.py → runs/ fase6-clases.md
Geography (§7)	pipeline/fases/codigos_vs_parentesco.py → runs/ codigos-parentesco.md

Every figure comes from those scripts over the same population declared in §2, with the same exclusions — which here are none. None was written by hand.

Appendix A · One hundred codes, with commentary

The catalogue holds 10,497 codes and the complete data are in `familias/sino-tibetan/output/`. What follows is a readable sample: the hundred binary and ternary codes of widest reach, each with up to sixteen languages and their words.

Three things about the presentation. Languages are spread along the alphabetical list, not taken from its head. Long names are trimmed; the forms are not altered. And the thematic grouping is a reading order declared by hand, not a semantic classification.

This appendix carries no emergent-code column, and here that is a result rather than a precaution. The phase-6 closure puts twenty-three of the family's thirty-nine symbols into a single class, and a class of twenty-three segments informs of nothing. §6.4 publishes it that way: this family produces no emergent classes.

What this appendix selects, measured

Sorting by reach is not neutral, and in this family it biases in four directions at once. All four come from `pipeline/tools/audita_anexo.py`:

	catalogue	this appendix
binary codes	47.4%	97%
ternary codes	12.6%	3%
Burmo-Qiangic as dominant branch	45.0%	55%
Sinitic as dominant branch	18.3%	22%
crossing four branches or more	6.3%	32%
mean enrichment	172×	87×

Ninety-seven of a hundred are binary, the opposite of what happened in the Afro-Asiatic appendix, where ternaries were over-represented. At 2.22 consonants per word, reach lives in the binaries and ternaries barely reach ten languages.

Seven of the thirteen branches have not a single code here — Karenic, Brahmaputran, Himalayish, Digarish, Nungish, Kman-Meyor, Macro-Tani. A paper whose central argument is thirteen branches publishes an appendix showing six.

And at the same time it over-represents the genealogically interesting: codes crossing four or more branches are 6.3% of the catalogue and 32% of the appendix, five times more. The bias runs both ways and for the same cause: reach.

Enrichment halves. Reach and enrichment are bought with one another: a code reaching many languages is usually made of frequent consonants, which is exactly what enrichment penalises. The hundred widest-reaching codes on this list are, by construction, among the least distinctive in the catalogue.

Audit: the normalisation table holds up two thirds of this appendix

Sixty-eight of the hundred codes have at least one position where the table merges two segments that three or more languages write differently. In the Afro-Asiatic appendix it was nine of ninety-one. The difference is not one of method but of family: here *s* gathers the entire sibilant-affricate complex — *ts ts^h tɕ tɕ^h ɕ ʃ ʂ tʂ dʒ dʒ̥ ʒ* — and at 80,694 positions is the most loaded symbol in the corpus, while *n* gathers *ŋ ɲ ɳ n*.

Three cases need separating, because they are not the same:

1 · Aspiration. $n \cdot k$ | nose is k in 18 languages and k^h in 15; $t \cdot n$ | drink, t in 10 and t^h in 9. The skeleton has never distinguished aspiration, in any family of the series. It is an instrument decision declared from the start, not an accident of this family.

2 · Nasal place. $m \cdot n$ | name — the emblematic code of §6.2 — carries η in 35 languages and n in 19. This is the case to read slowly: the final alternation $-\eta$ $-n$ in 'name' is a real Sino-Tibetan correspondence, and a comparatist would unify those forms just as we do. The difference is how: they by demonstrating the correspondence; we because the table writes them the same before looking. The result coincides and the grounding does not, and that distinction is the whole paper.

3 · Sibilant place, which is the serious case. $n \cdot s$ | medicine is ts^h in 34 languages and $t\zeta^h$ in 15; $s \cdot n$ | onion, ts^h in 15 and $t\zeta$ in 11; $s \cdot n$ | sing, ts^h in 9 and $t\zeta^h$ in 9. The dental / palatal / retroflex opposition is what Sinitic dialectology is built on, and the table erases it entirely. These codes are not false — they group forms a specialist would also relate — but their reach is inflated by a distinction the skeleton does not carry.

And there is a fourth case that this very review created. $n \cdot s$ | twenty now comes out with n in 32 languages and η in 16, and $s \cdot n$ | twelve with n in 23 and η in 16. Before, they did not: η was its own canonical symbol and those codes were split in two. The correction of §6.4-bis joined them, and in joining them made them dependent on the table. Fixing an inconsistency does not make the normalisation innocent: it makes it consistent, which is another thing.

And what this appendix shows without meaning to: enrichment does not rank by antiquity

The ten highest-enrichment codes of this appendix, in order:

Code	Concept	Languages	Enrich.	What it is
$n \cdot s \cdot t$	twenty one	24	1545×	compound numeral: "two-ten-one"
$b \cdot d \cdot m$	groundnut	18	1086×	<i>badam</i> , Persian 'almond' — a loan
$s \cdot r \cdot m$	otter	18	596×	* <i>sram</i> , a genuine Tibeto-Burman etymon
$p \cdot t$	grape	20	380×	Chinese <i>pútáo</i> , itself an Iranian loan
$p \cdot l$	glass	19	330×	Chinese <i>bōli</i> , from Sanskrit <i>sphaṭika</i>
$s \cdot g$	plough	19	258×	material culture
$d \cdot l$	wing	30	170×	—
$m \cdot l$	sorghum	18	156×	a crop
$z \cdot m$	daughter	23	148×	—
$n \cdot m$	younger sister (of man)	24	130×	—

The first four are a compound numeral, a Persian loan, a real etymon and an Iranian loan via Chinese, in that order and with nothing separating them. Enrichment measures how distinctive a skeleton is, and a word that has just arrived is maximally distinctive: it is the same everywhere because it arrived whole. It is the cassava case of the Afro-Asiatic appendix, multiplied here.

$s \cdot r \cdot m$ | otter is the one to look at twice. It is *sram* — Old Tibetan *sram*, Jingpho *saram*, Lushai *sa+ram* — a fully-fledged Tibeto-Burman etymon, ternary, crossing seven branches. And it sits at position 94 of this appendix by reach, with eighteen languages. Ordering by reach barely sees it.

The compound numerals, which are not root codes

Four of the first forty codes are numerals of the decade: $n \cdot s$ | twenty (58 languages, the first of the whole appendix), $s \cdot n$ | twelve (50), $s \cdot t$ | eleven (34), $n \cdot s \cdot t$ | twenty one (24). Read their blocks: *ni-tsi*, *ts^{he}-ni*, *ts^{hi}-ti*, *ni-tsi-thi*. They are "two-ten", "ten-two", "ten-one", "two-ten-one".

What those languages share is not a root but an arithmetic, and the roots composing it — 'two', 'ten', 'one' — are already in the catalogue on their own account as unary codes. The procedure counts the compound numeral as one more code, and has no way of knowing it is the sum of two others. It is the same boundary §5.1 measures for automatic segmentation, seen from the other side.

And one last thing, visible in the section index

Fifty-five of the hundred codes fall under "Other". This appendix's thematic list was declared by hand for families with Swadesh-type basic vocabulary, and the Sino-Tibetan Lexibank lists are not that: they carry medicine, cotton, onion, gold, shoe, chili pepper, walnut, board, bracelet, copper, ring, glass, steel, plough, sorghum, groundnut. More than half of this appendix is material-culture and trade vocabulary, and that is the concrete shape the loan problem takes here. It is not a defect of the corpus: it is what has been collected for this part of the world, and it must be read knowing so.

Reverse index: codes recurring across concepts

Twenty-three codes of the appendix cover seventy-nine of its hundred entries. It is by far the highest figure in the series — Turkic had ten concepts under a single code — and it is §3's 71.6% collision put on display: four out of five blocks that follow repeat a skeleton already seen.

s · n takes sixteen concepts on its own: twelve, onion, gold, shoe, new, firewood, long, sing, seven, day before yesterday, wood, heart, heavy, mountain, near, dirty. No semantic reading sustains that, and none is attempted: **s** and **n** are the two most frequent symbols of the inventory, and their combination is the most available skeleton in the family.

It is worth separating from **d · z**, which covers eat, cooked rice, ride, raw and thorn with enrichments from 58× to 119×. There the skeleton is rare and the concepts touch — eat, rice, raw — so it is plausible they are one family of words. The same row format and two different phenomena, and the code alone does not say which.

Code	Concepts it appears with, and their enrichment
s · n	twelve (50, 38×) · onion (32, 24×) · gold (30, 12×) · shoe (30, 16×) · new (27, 7×) · firewood (24, 10×) · long (24, 6×) · sing (24, 10×) · seven (21, 6×) · day before yesterday (20, 18×) · wood (20, 9×) · heart (20, 5×) · heavy (20, 5×) · mountain (20, 5×) · near (20, 5×) · dirty (18, 7×)
d · z	eat (34, 58×) · cooked rice (27, 86×) · ride (24, 119×) · raw (22, 112×) · thorn (18, 54×)
s · m	three (34, 21×) · dream (26, 23×) · old person (25, 56×) · walnut (23, 42×) · flour (21, 27×)
s · s	sand (28, 10×) · tree (26, 9×) · claw (25, 16×) · dew (19, 12×) · flea (18, 11×)
m · n	name (53, 44×) · cat (22, 26×) · dream (22, 29×) · no (21, 47×)
n · m	sun (40, 41×) · heart (39, 42×) · younger sister (of man) (24, 130×) · sky (23, 29×)
k · n	root (21, 13×) · steel (20, 111×) · empty (19, 34×) · dry (18, 12×)
n · s	twenty (58, 57×) · medicine (45, 48×) · rainbow (18, 14×)
n · n	thou (54, 34×) · breast (30, 24×) · silver (20, 20×)
s · t	eleven (34, 45×) · kill (27, 20×) · ashamed (24, 79×)
s · p	board (23, 58×) · host (20, 69×) · lung (20, 20×)

Numerals

The appendix's four numerals are of the decade, not of the unit, which sets them apart: **n · s** | twenty, **s · n** | twelve, **s · t** | eleven and — under "Other", because of its concept label — **n · s · t** | twenty one.

The family's unary codes do carry the low numerals — n|five, s|ten, s|three — and do not appear here because the appendix takes only binaries and ternaries. The result is a numeral section made entirely of compounds, with this appendix's header explaining why.

s·m|three is the exception: *sum* in Achang, Bisu, Chepang, Tibetan, Tamang, Old Burmese. It is the real Tibeto-Burman numeral, across five branches.

n·s|TWENTY · binary · 58 languages · enrichment 57×

Alo ɲi ⁵⁵ tse ³³	Black Lahu ni ⁵³ tshi ³³	Guanyinqiao Khros nɛ ⁵⁵ sə ³³
Hlepho n ²¹ ɬi ³³	Kazhuo ŋ ³¹ tsi ³³	Lavu ɲi ²¹ tsi ⁵⁵
Lisu ɲi ³¹ ɔtsi ³³	Mondzi ni ⁴⁴ si ⁴⁴	Nersu ɲi ²¹ tsɿ ¹³
Njorogs Khroskyab nei ⁵⁵ sə ³³	S. Muji n ²¹ ɬhə ³³	Sherpa ɲiɕju
TibetoKinauri_Bun nidza	Xinlong Queyu nyɕy	Yi ni ²¹ tsu ⁵⁵
Yijiacun ŋ ²¹ tɕi ²⁴		

s·m|three · binary · 34 languages · enrichment 21×

Achang sum ³¹	Bisu sum ⁵⁵	Chepang sum	Gyalsumdo sum
Jirel sum	Kaike sum	Laowu Nisu sə ³³ mu ³³	Lowa sum
Monpa Kalaktang sam	Monpa Tembang sam	Old Burmese sum:	S.kong sem ⁵⁵
Tamang 'som	Tibetan_Lhasa sum ⁵⁵	TibetoKinauri_Bya səm	Tsum sum

p·n|five · binary · 26 languages · enrichment 29×

Angami Khonoma pengu	Angami Kohima pengou	Ao Mongsen phanga	Chepang po+ŋa
Chokri pūngu	Kaang Kruk paŋa	Kezhama pangu	Lushai pa+ŋa
Maring phanga	Miji Nafra puŋu	Mkaang paŋa	Mro-Khimi Areong pə°ŋ
Nruanghmei pang	Rawngtu Weilong paŋa	Sema pongu	Tangkhuł pha°ŋa

s·n|SEVEN · binary · 21 languages · enrichment 6×

Dimasa sini	Garō_Garō sini	Guanyinqiao Khros sɲi ⁵⁵	Kayaw so ³³ ne ⁵⁵
Liangmai chania	Mao cha°ni	Maram sina	Nruanghmei cūnei
Rengma tsanü	Sema tsi°ni	Stau sɲe	Suansu ts_o_n_i
Tangkhuł shini	Xinlong Queyu sna ⁵⁵	Zeme sena	Zhaba ʂne ⁵⁵

Body parts

Ten codes, and the distribution is revealing. m·k|eye crosses eight branches; n·p|ear, two, at 84× enrichment; n·k|nose, four; d·l|wing, one alone at 170×

High enrichment with a single branch is the signature of the local, not of the ancient. d·l|wing is entirely Burmo-Qianguic: inside that branch the skeleton is distinctive, and outside it does not appear. m·k|eye, at 49×, crosses Kuki-Chin-Naga, Himalayish, Bodic, Sinitic, Brahmaputran, Burmo-Qianguic, Macro-Tani and Karenic — half the family.

n·p|ear · binary · 41 languages · enrichment 84×

Alo nu ²¹ po ³³	Azha no ⁵⁵ pu ³³	Diaocao na ²¹ pa ⁵⁵	Eryuan ɲɿ ³³ pi ²¹
Hlepho na ⁵⁵ pə ³³	Kua-nsi na ²¹ po ³³	Lipo no ⁵⁵ pa ³³	Lolopo no ⁵⁵ pa ³³
Narsu no ⁵⁵ pa ⁵⁵	Neshu no ⁵⁵ pa ⁵⁵	Polo no ³³ pa ³³	Sanni na ²¹ pu ³³
Sodi nu ²¹ po ³³	Wadu ne ⁵⁵ _pe ⁵³	Yi no ⁵⁵ pɿ ³³	Yijiacun na ²¹ pa ²⁴

n·m|heart · binary · 39 languages · enrichment 42×

Alo ɲi ²¹ mu ²¹	Azha ni ⁴⁴ mo ³³	Black Lahu ɔ ³¹ ni ³³ m ³³	Gepu ni ³³ mo ²¹
Haoni nw ³³ mo ³³	Kua-nsi ni ³³ mo ³³	Lavu ni ³³ mu ³³	Lo'lopo ɲi ³³ mo ³³
Namuyi ɲi ³³ mi ⁵⁵	Nasu ɲi ²¹ mu ²	Phuza ɲi ⁵⁵ mo ³³	S. Muji ɲi ³³ ma ²¹
Sanni ni ⁵¹ ma ⁵¹	Shuizhuping ni ⁴⁴ ma ³³	Xinlong Queyu nəmi	Yi ni ³³ mo ³³

m·k|eye · binary · 33 languages · enrichment 49×

Asho meik ^h u	Chaozhou mak ⁵	Daai Kal-im mik	Kaang Kruk mik
Kiranti_Hayu mek	Konyak mek	Maram a ^o mek	Mkaang mik
Mzieme miak	Ng'ga Kant-thayun mik	Nruanghmei mik	Phom mük
Rondu Balti mik	Sinitic_Jieyang mak ⁵	Tangkhu' mik	TibetoKinauri_Bun mik

n·k|nose · binary · 33 languages · enrichment 47×

Burmese na ²² kha ⁵⁵	Guiqiong ɲo ⁵⁵ kü ⁵³	Hlepho na ³³ kw ⁵⁵	Kayah Monu n ^ó -k ^h i
Kezhama nhu ^o ka	Lavu nu ⁵⁵ khu ⁵⁵	Mangdi no ⁵⁵ k ^h u ³³	Monpa Tembang nak
Neshu no ⁵⁵ ko ²¹	Phuza na ⁵⁵ kw ³³	Rouruo na ³⁵ ka ³⁵	Samu nau ⁵³ khu ²¹
Shuizhuping na ⁴⁴ k ^h u ³³	WB na ²² kha ⁵⁵	Xuzhang n ⁴⁵ k ^h u ³³	Yi no ⁵⁵ ko ²¹

n·n|BREAST · binary · 30 languages · enrichment 24×

Azha na ⁴⁴ na ²¹	Burmish_Xiandao ɲon ³⁵	Ersu ɲo ⁵⁵ ɲo ⁵⁵
Guangzhou nin ⁵⁵	Guiqiong ni ⁵⁵ ni ⁵⁵	Kangding Minyag 2n ^o n ^o
Mazur Stau nene	Njorogs Khroskyab nu ³³ nu ⁵⁵	Nusu nw ⁵⁵ nw ³¹
Nyagrang Minyag nw ³³ n ^o ⁵³	Rahung nɲɲ	Sartang Khoina nɲɲ
Shergaon ɲuɲ	Siyewu Khroskyab nunu	Suzhou na ¹³⁻²² na ¹³⁻³¹
Xinlong Queyu nənə		

s·w|blood · binary · 30 languages · enrichment 31×

Achang sui ³¹	Bai sua ⁴⁴	Bai sua ⁴⁴	Eastern Kayah Li swi
Enqi sua ⁵⁵	Heqing s ^h ua ⁴⁴	Lahta Kayan ɲwi	Loudi sue ¹³
Northern Pwo swi ³³	Northern Sgaw swi ^{31~}	Old Burmese swe:	Qiliqiao ɲua ⁴⁴
Tuoluo sua ⁴²	Xiangyun sua ⁴⁴	Yunlong sua ⁴⁴	Zhaozhuang Bai ɲua ⁴⁴

d·l|wing · binary · 30 languages · enrichment 170×

Alo du ²¹ la ⁵⁵	Azhe do ²² li ²¹	E Lolo du ³³ la ²¹	Jinggu Limi du ²⁴ le ²¹
Laowu Nisu du ²¹ la ¹³	Lipo du ³³ le ³³	Lo'lopo du ³³ le ²¹	Mondzi do ¹³ lo ⁵³
Naso du ²¹ la ⁵⁵	Nasu du ²¹ la ⁵⁵	Neshu do ²¹ le ²¹	Phuza ɛ ³³ du ³¹ li ⁵⁵
Sanni du ³³ le ⁵⁵	Tolozha du ²¹ le ²¹	Yangliu dɛ ³³ lai ⁵³	Yi do ³³ le ²¹

l·p|hand · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 38×

Axi le ²¹ pu ⁵⁵	Azha le ³³ phe ⁴⁴	Batang Tibetan la ¹³ pa ⁵³
Bisu la ³¹ pu ³¹	Jinggu Limi le ³³ p ^h ɛ ⁵⁵	Jinuo la ⁵⁵ pu ⁴⁴
Kazhuo la ⁵³ pha ⁵⁵	Kua-nsi la ²¹ p ^h a ³³	Laowu Nisu la ¹³ p ^h a ⁵⁵
Lavu la ²¹ pha ³³	Lawu la ³¹ pa ³³	Lisu le ³¹ pa ⁵⁵
Sani le ²¹ phe ⁴⁴	Xinlong Queyu le ⁵⁵ pa ⁵⁵	Yi li ²¹ phi ³³
Yijiacun la ²¹ p ^h ɛ ³³		

s·n|heart · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 5×

Beijing ɕin ⁵⁵	Caijia saŋ ³³	Changsha ɕin ³³	Chengdu ɕin ⁴⁵
Fuzhou siŋ ⁵⁵	Guilin ɕiŋ ⁴²	Ha_erbin ɕin ⁴⁴	Loudi ɕin ⁴⁴
Nanchang ɕin ⁴²	Nanjing ɕin ³¹	Rongcheng siŋ ⁵²	Sinitic_Beijing ɕien ⁵⁵
Suzhou siŋ ⁴⁴	Taiyuan ɕin ²¹	Tshobdun sni	Wenzhou saŋ ⁴⁴

n·b|nose · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 53×

Axi no ³³ bo ²¹	Azhe nw ³³ bw ²¹	Bantawa nabu	Gepu no ³³ bo ²¹
Kua-nsi na ²¹ bi ⁵⁵	Laowu Nisu nu ³³ bə ²¹	Lipo nu ⁵⁵ bi ³³	Lisu nɔ ³³ bi ³³
Mondzi nɔ ¹³ br ²¹	Nasu nu ^{3b}	Nersu no ¹³ br ²¹	Neshu no ⁵⁵ by ²¹
Sanni na ³³ bw ³³	Sodi na ²¹ br ⁵⁵	Yi no ³³ bə ³³	Yi na ²¹ bi ⁵⁵

Kinship and person

Four codes, the first two being those the paper uses: **n·n|thou** in 54 languages and eight branches, and **m·n|name** in 53 and nine. The other two are **z·m|daughter** (148×) and **m·m|mother** (75×).

m·m|MOTHER deserves the standing warning. A repeated labial nasal for 'mother' is the textbook example of what proves nothing: it appears in unrelated families for reasons of language acquisition. The catalogue groups it by the same criterion as **m·n|name** and has nothing with which to separate them.

n·n|THOU · binary · 54 languages · enrichment 34×

Achang nuan ⁵⁵	Bisu naŋ ³³	Chin_Hakha n.ă/a.ŋ	Dimasa nung
Jingpho naŋ ³³	Kangding Minyag 1nəne	Lashi naŋ ³¹	Lushai naŋ
Maring nang	Monpa Kalaktang nan	Mro-Khimi Areong naŋ	Nruanghmei nang
Rahung naŋ	S.kong naŋ ⁵⁵	Shanghai noŋ ¹³	Uppu naŋ

m·n|name · binary · 53 languages · enrichment 44×

Asho miŋ	Daai Kal-im miŋ	Ekai Dalet Ywa Ha a.min	Jirel min
Khumi əm□ n	Konyak min	Manange 1miŋ	Maru maŋ ³¹
Monpa Kalaktang min	Ng'ga Kant-thayun _miŋ	Old Tibetan miŋ	Rabha muŋ
Sinitic_Longgang mian ²¹	Tani_Bokar a+min	TibetoKinauri_Bun min	Uppu amin

z·m|DAUGHTER · binary · 23 languages · enrichment 148×

Azha ze ⁴⁴ me ³³	Black Lahu ɔ ³¹ z□ ⁵³ mi ⁵³	Diaocao zo ²¹ mɛ ²¹	Hani za ³¹ mi ³¹
Haoni zo ³¹ mi ³¹	Kazhuo za ³¹ m31	Kua-nsi zu ²¹ ma ²¹	Lawu za ²¹ mi ²¹
Lisu zɔ ³¹ mɯ ³¹	Lolopo zo ²¹ mæ ²¹	Samu zo ²¹ mi ²¹	Shuizhuping za ²¹ mɛ ²¹
Xuzhang za ²¹ mɛ ²¹	Yangliu za ²¹ mɛ ²¹	Yi zɛ ²¹ mɛ ²¹	Yijiacun zo ³³ mɛ ²¹

m·m|MOTHER · binary · 23 languages · enrichment 75×

Beijing ma ⁵⁵ ma ⁰	Changsha ma ³³ ma ³³	Chengdu ma ⁴⁴ ma ⁴⁴	Hangzhou ma ³³ ma ³³
Jixi mɔ ³¹ mɔ ⁰	Khaling mɛm	Kiranti_Bahing mama	Kunming ma ⁴⁴ ma ⁴⁴
Loudi m ¹¹ ma ⁴²⁻¹	Mandarin Chinese ma ¹ ma	Nanjing ma ³¹ ma ⁰	Shanghai m ⁵³ ma ¹³
Shexian m ³⁵ ma ³¹	Sinitic_Beijing ma ⁵⁵ ma ⁰	Xiamen ma ⁵⁵ ma ⁵⁵	Yangzhou ma ³¹ ma ³¹

Nature and sky

Fifteen codes, the second most populated section, and the one carrying the two comparanda of §6.2: **l·n|stone** across ten branches and **m·k|smoke** across six.

It also carries two different codes for 'fire' — **m·t** in 30 languages, all Burmo-Qianguic, and **x·w** in 21 spread between Macro-Bai and Sinitic — which are not variants of one another: they are two etyma holding different zones of the family.

m·k|smoke · binary · 46 languages · enrichment 83×

Asho mei.k ^{hw}	Bisu mi31khau31	Daaï Kal-im mai.k ^{hu}
Gepu mə55khə33	Kangding Minyag mu ³³ khə ⁵³	Kua-nsi mu33ku33
Lisu mu ³¹ ◦khu ³¹	Maang mi33khau35	Nasu mu33khw33
Naxi mu ⁵⁵ _khw ³¹	Nyagrong Minyag mǔ ³⁵ _khw ⁵⁵	Rouruo mi33khw33
Stau mk ^{hə}	Thakali mi◦ku	WB mi ⁵⁵ +k ^{ho} ⁵⁵
Yi mu ³³ ku ³³		

l·n|stone · binary · 44 languages · enrichment 41×

Ao Chungli lung	Asho hlin	Chin_Hakha l.ô/u.ŋ	Deng laŋ ³⁵
Jerigaon lyn	Kaike lung	Kiranti_Kulung luŋ	Lahta Kayan lə̀uŋ
Lushai luŋ	Mkaang lún	Mro-Khimi Areong luŋ	Nruanghmei lung
Nungic_Dulong luŋ ⁵⁵	Rupa lyn	Sherdukpen Rupa luŋ	Uppu lǐ:ŋ

n·m|sun · binary · 40 languages · enrichment 41×

Achang ni ³¹ +mɔ ³¹	Bantawa nam	Chug Parchu na-mi
Gyalsumdo pima	Jirel pima	Kaike nhi◦mā
Kiranti_Hayu no+mɔ	Kiranti_Limbu nam	Monpa Kalaktang ŋam
Monpa Tembang ŋam	Naxi ɲi ³³ _me ³³	Nungic_Dulong nam ⁵³
Rondu Balti ñima	Sartang Khoitam nə-mi:	Tibetan_Lhasa ɲi ¹³ ◦mə ⁵⁵
Xinlong Queyu ɲi ⁵⁵ _mu ³³		

m·t|fire · binary · 30 languages · enrichment 49×

Alo mu ³³ tu ⁵⁵	Axi mu33tw55	Azhe mu55tw55	Gepu m ³³ tu ²¹
Hlepho mi ⁵⁵ to ³³	Kua-nsi mu21tu55	Lope mu55tu55	Narsu mɛ ³³ tu ²¹
Nasu mo ³³ tɔ ⁵⁵	Nersu mi ³³ te ¹³	Nipu mu ³³ _tɔ ⁵⁵	Polo mu13tu55
Sani m ²¹ tu ⁵⁵	Sodi m ²¹ tɔ ⁵⁵	Up: Phola mi ³¹ t ^{hɛ} ³³	Yi mu ²¹ tu ⁵⁵

l·m|ROAD · binary · 28 languages · enrichment 67×

Alike Tibetan lam	Bantawa lam	Bugun Kaspi ləmua	Bugun Singchung ləmua
Chin_Hakha l.â/a.m	Daaï Kal-im lam	Jingpho lam ³³	Khaling lēm
Kiranti_Hayu lom	Kiranti_Limbu lam	Monpa Tembang lam	Ng'ga Kant-thayun lam
Old Tibetan lam	Rawngtu Weiling lam	Sherdukpen Rupa ləmu	Uppu lam

s·s|sand · binary · 28 languages · enrichment 10×

Achang si ⁵ ʃɔ ³¹	Bai so ⁵⁵ _tsi ⁴⁴	Black Lahu s◻ i ³⁵ si ¹¹	Cebu sa ⁵³ tsi ²¹
Chengdu sa ⁴⁵ sa ⁵⁵	Chokri tsūshe	Guilin sa ⁴² tse ²³⁻³³	Ha_erbin ʂa ⁴⁴ tsɿ ⁰
Jixi so ⁵⁵ tsɿ ⁰	Lahu s◻ i ³⁵ _si ¹¹	Loudi sɔ ⁴⁴ tsɿ ⁰	Nanchang sa ⁴² tsɿ ⁰
Qiang ʂa ⁵⁵ _tsɿ ³¹	Shuizhuping ʂa ³³ tsɿ ³³	Xi_an sa ²¹ tsɿ ⁰	Yi sa ³³ tsɿ ³³

n·m|sky · binary · 23 languages · enrichment 29×

Chug Parchu na+mu	Gyalsumdo nam	Jerigaon nə+my:	Kagate nam
Kiranti_Kulung nam	Lowa nam	Monpa Kalaktang nam	Monpa Tembang nam
Rahung nə+my:	Rondu Balti nam	Sartang Darbu 1 nymy	Sartang Khoina na+my:
Shergaon ni+mi:	Sherpa nam	TibetoKinauri_Bun nam	Tsum nam

p·n|ice · binary · 22 languages · enrichment 57×

Beijing piŋ ⁵⁵	Changsha piŋ ³³	Chengdu piŋ ⁴⁴	Guangzhou p.i.ŋ. ⁵³⁵⁵
Guilin piŋ ⁴²	Ha_erbin piŋ ⁴⁴	Mandarin Chinese biŋ ¹	Meixian peŋ ³³
Nanjing piŋ ³¹	Rongcheng piŋ ⁵²	Shenyang piŋ ³³	Sinitic_Longgang piŋ ⁴⁵
Suzhou piŋ ⁴⁴	Taiyuan piŋ ²¹	Xi_an piŋ ²¹	Xiamen piŋ ⁵⁵

l·n|cold · binary · 21 languages · enrichment 22×

Beijing ləŋ ²¹⁴	Changsha lən ⁴¹	Guangzhou ləŋ ²³	Ha_erbin ləŋ ²¹³
Hefei lən ²⁴	Jinan ləŋ ⁴⁵	Mandarin Chinese ləŋ ³	Nanchang ləŋ ²¹³
Nanjing lən ¹¹	Rongcheng ləŋ ²¹⁴	Sinitic_Beijing l.ɤ.ŋ. ²¹⁴	Sinitic_Longgang ləŋ ²¹
Suzhou ləŋ ³¹	Xi_an ləŋ ⁵³	Xiamen liŋ ⁵³	Yangjiang ləŋ ²¹

x·w|fire · binary · 21 languages · enrichment 76×

Bai xui ³³	Bai xui ³³	Beijing xuo ²¹⁴	Enqi xui ²²
Guilin xuo ²³	Ha_erbin xuo ²¹³	Heqing x ^h ue ³³	Jinan xuɤ ⁴⁵
Lanping xue ⁴⁴	Mandarin Chinese huo ³	Rongcheng xuo ²¹⁴	Taiyuan xuɤ ⁵³
Tuoluo xue ³³	Xiangyun xue ³³	Yunlong xue ³³	Zhaozhuang Bai xui ³³

s·n|WOOD · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 9×

Ao Chungli süŋ	Ao Mongsen a°süŋ	Bantawa siŋ	Chug Parchu ciŋ
Khoirao a°siŋ	Kiranti_Limbu siŋ	Kiranti_Thulung saŋ	Lotha o°tsang
Monpa Tembang ciŋ	Old Tibetan ciŋ	Rengma süŋ	Sangtam siŋ
Tibetan_Lhasa ciŋ ⁵⁵	TibetoKinauri_Bun ciŋ	TibetoKinauri_Bya jin	Tibeto_Kinauri_Ro siŋ

s·n|mountain · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 5×

Asho swŋ	Beijing ʂan ⁵⁵	Chang shong
Changsha san ³³	Ekai Dalet Ywa Ha swŋ	Fuzhou saŋ ⁵⁵
Guangzhou s.a.n. ⁵³⁵⁵	Ha_erbin ʂan ⁴⁴	Lahta Kayan sòŋ
Mandarin Chinese ʂa˥n	Maring ching	Meixian san ³³
Nanchang san ⁴²	Nruanghmei ching	Rongcheng ʂen ⁵²
Sinitic_Beijing ʂan ⁵⁵		

f·n|wind · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 101×

Beijing fəŋ ⁵⁵	Chengdu foŋ ⁴⁴	Guangzhou fəŋ ⁵³	Guilin fɤn ⁴²
Hefei fəŋ ²¹²	Jinan fəŋ ²¹³	Kunming foŋ ⁴⁴	Mandarin Chinese fəŋ
Nanchang fuŋ ⁴²	Nanjing fən ³¹	Rongcheng fəŋ ⁵²	Shenyang fəŋ ³³
Sinitic_Longgang fəŋ ³³	Suzhou foŋ ⁴⁴	Taiyuan fəŋ ²¹	Xi_an fəŋ ²¹

m·h|RAIN (PRECIPITATION) · binary · 19 languages · enrichment 58×

Alo mo ³³ hu ³³	E Lolo a ⁵⁵ me ²¹ ho ³³	Gepu mu44ho33	Jinggu Limi a ⁵⁵ mu ²¹ ho ³³
Kua-nsi ma33ha33	Lisu mu ³¹ o ^h h ³³	Mondzi mo ⁴⁴ ho ¹³	Naso mu ³³ ho ³³
Nasu mo ³³ ho ³³	Nersu mi ³³ ho ¹³	Nipu mu ³³ ho ³³	Shuizhuping a ⁵⁵ mu ²¹ h ³³
Tolozha mu ³³ ha ³³	Yangliu a ⁵⁵ m ²¹ ha ³³	Yi a ⁵⁵ _mu ²¹ _ha ⁵⁵	Yi ma ³³ ha ³³

n·s|RAINBOW · binary · 18 languages · enrichment 14×

Alike Tibetan ndzæ	Batang Tibetan ndz.a. ⁵³	Guanyinqiao Khros ndza ⁵³
Japhug ndza	Kangding Minyag ndzæ ⁵³	Mazur Stau ndzæ
Mbarkhams Situ ndzo	Ngyaltsu Zbu ndzê	Siyewu Khroskyab ndzo
Stau ndza	Tshobdun ^h ge22	Wobzi Khroskyabs ndzô
Xinlong Queyu ndzo	Zhaba ndza ⁵⁵	Zlarong ndze53
rGyalrong ndja		

Animals

A single code reaches this section: k·w|dog, 24 languages and five branches. The family's animals — otter, rabbit, cat, flea — fall under "Other" because their glosses are not on the thematic list, and that is where s·r·m|otter sits, the best genealogical code in the appendix.

k·w|dog · binary · 24 languages · enrichment 36×

Achang k ^{hui} 4 ⁵	Bai khu ³³	Bela k ^{hui} 2 ³	Burmese khwe ⁵⁵
Deng kui ⁵⁵	Deng_Darang_Tarao kuw ⁵³	Jianchuan k ^h ũã ³³	Jingpho kui ³¹
Maang khui ³⁵	Mondzi khui ⁴⁴	Old Burmese khwe:	Phon k ^{hui} 3 ¹
WB khwe3	Xiangyun k ^h ũã ³³	Zaiwa khui ²¹	Zhaozhuang Bai khua ⁴⁴

Plants and food

Four codes, headed by d·z|eat (34 languages, 58×) and t·n|drink (22, seven branches). Both are verbs, not plants: this family's crops — sorghum, groundnut, walnut, grape, chili — are under "Other".

d·z|eat · binary · 34 languages · enrichment 58×

Achang dzo ³	Diaocao dza ²¹	Gepu dzo ²¹	Jinggu Limi dzo ²¹
Kiranti_Hayu dza	Lashi dzo ³	Lisu dzo ³¹	Maru dzo ⁴
Nasu dzo ³³	Neshu dzo ³³	Sani dzo ³³	Shuizhuping dza ²¹
Tolozha dza ³³	Yangliu dza ²¹	Yi dza ²¹	Yijiacun dzo ²¹

s·s|tree · binary · 26 languages · enrichment 9×

Axi si33tse33	Azha si ⁴⁴ tse ²¹	Diaocao ci ³³ dzei ²⁴	Jinggu Limi ci ⁵⁵ tci ³³
Kiranti_Kulung s.i:.t ^h o	Lavu si33tse155	Lipo si ³³ tsi ³³	Lolopo se ³³ _dzw ³³
Naxi si ³³ _dzi ³³	Neshu si ³³ dze ²¹	Old Burmese sac	Rouruo se53tse33
Tolozha si155tse155	Xuzhang si ⁴⁵³ dzi ²⁴	Yi ci ³³ dze ²¹	Yi si ³³ _dzi ⁵⁵

t·n|drink · binary · 22 languages · enrichment 15×

Alike Tibetan thon	Batang Tibetan thun ⁵³	Bisu tan55	Deng taun ⁵⁵
Deng_Yidu tion ⁵⁵	Gyalsumdo t ^h un	Khaling tun ^o	Kiranti_Hayu tun
Lowa thun ⁵⁵	Manange 2t ^h un	Miji Nafra thon	Newar ton-
Old Tibetan 'thun	S.kong tan55	Tibetan_Lhasa thun ⁵⁵	TibetoKinauri_Bun tun

k·n|root · binary · 21 languages · enrichment 13×

Changsha kən ³³	Chaozhou kɿ̃ ³³	Chengdu kən ⁴⁵	Eastern Puroik a ³¹ –koŋ ⁵⁵
Guangzhou k.e.n. ⁵³⁵⁵	Guilin kɿn ⁴²	Ha_erbin kən ⁴⁴	Meixian kin ³³
Miji Nafra ukhən	Nanjing kən ³¹	Rongcheng kən ⁵²	Sinitic_Beijing kən ⁵⁵
Sinitic_Jieyang keŋ ³³	Suzhou kən ⁴⁴	Taiyuan kəŋ ²¹	Xiamen kun ⁵⁵

Verbs of action and state

A single code: s·j|laugh. This family’s verbs are short and collide heavily, so they reach the catalogue as unaries and do not enter this appendix.

s·j|laugh · binary · 22 languages · enrichment 21×

Beijing ɕiau ⁵¹	Changsha ɕiau ⁵⁵	Chengdu ɕiau ²¹³	Fuzhou ts ^h ieu ²¹²
Guangzhou siu ³³	Guilin ɕiau ²⁵	Jinan ɕio ³¹	Jixi ɕie ³⁵
Loudi siɿ ³⁵	Mandarin Chinese xiào	Meixian siau ⁵³	Nanjing ɕio ⁴⁴
Rongcheng siau ³³⁴	Suzhou siæ ⁴¹²	Wenzhou ɕie ⁵¹	Xi_an ɕiau ⁴⁴

Objects and culture

A single code carries this label — l·m|path or road — and yet material culture is half the appendix. That is an artefact of the thematic list, not of the family: the Lexibank glosses for this part of the world do not match the labels the list declares, and they all end up under ”Other”.

l·m|PATH OR ROAD · binary · 24 languages · enrichment 86×

Chang lam	Daaï Kal–im lám	Jerigaon læ+mu	Jingpho lam
Khaling læm	Khumi lām	Maram lam	Maring lam
Ng'ga Kant–thayun lám	Nocte lam	Old Tibetan lam	Phom lem
Rupa næ+mu	Sartang Khoitam lym	Sherpa lām	Uppu lam

Qualities

Five codes, and among them are the lowest-enrichment codes of the whole appendix: s·n|new 7×, s·n|long 6×, s·n|heavy 5×, alongside k·n|clean 24× and k·n|dry 12×.

Three of the five are s·n. An enrichment of 5× in a code covering twenty languages says exactly what it looks like: the code is picking up the frequent, not the shared. They are the floor of this list, and they are here because reach lifted them.

s·n|new · binary · 27 languages · enrichment 7×

Beijing ɕin ⁵⁵	Caijia ɕin ³³	Chaozhou siŋ ³³	Fuzhou siŋ ⁵⁵
Guangzhou sen ⁵³	Ha_erbin ɕin ⁴⁴	Kua–nsi ɕi ⁵⁵ nu ³³	Kuansi ɕi ⁵⁵ _nu ³³
Manange ltsaŋ	Meixian sin ³³	Nanchang ɕin ⁴²	Rondu Balti soŋa
Sinitic_Beijing ɕiən ⁵⁵	Sinitic_Jieyang seŋ ³³	Suzhou sin ⁴⁴	Wenzhou saŋ ⁴⁴

s·n|long · binary · 24 languages · enrichment 6×

Achang səŋ ⁵⁵	Beijing tɕʰaŋ ³⁵	Changsha tsan ¹³
Chengdu tsʰaŋ ²¹	Guilin tsəŋ ²²	Ha_erbin tɕʰaŋ ²⁴
Jinan tsʰaŋ ⁵³	Lashi ʃ.ə:.ŋ. ³³	Mandarin Chinese chang2
Meixian tsʰoŋ ¹¹	Nruanghmei seinu	Rawngtu Weilong ʔsʰɛ:n
Shenyang tsʰaŋ ³⁵	Sinitic_Beijing tɕʰ.ɑ.ŋ. ³⁵	Xi_an tɕʰaŋ ²⁴
Yangzhou tsʰaŋ ³⁴		

s·n|heavy · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 5×

Beijing tsuŋ ⁵¹	Changsha tsən ²¹	Chengdu tsoŋ ²¹³	Guangzhou tsoŋ ²²
Hefei tsəŋ ⁵³	Jinan tsuŋ ²¹	Kunming tsəŋ ¹³	Mandarin Chinese chen2
Nanchang tsʰuŋ ²¹	Nanjing tsoŋ ⁴⁴	Rongcheng tsoŋ ³³⁴	Shenyang tsuŋ ⁴¹
Sinitic_Longgang tsʰ.ɔ.ŋ. ³³	Taiyuan tsuŋ ⁴⁵	Tuoluo ʔvŋ33	Yangjiang tʃʰuŋ ²¹

k·s|CLEAN · binary · 18 languages · enrichment 24×

Diaocao ka ⁵⁵ tɕi ²¹	Eryuan ka ³⁵ tɕw ⁴²	Heqing kǎ ⁵⁵ tɕw ⁴²	Jianchuan kǎ ⁵⁵ tɕw̃ ⁴²
Kunming kǎ ⁴⁴ tɕi ¹³	Lanping kǎ ⁵⁵ tɕw̃ ³¹	Luobenzhuo ka ⁵⁵ tɕi ⁴⁴	Nasu kɔ ³³ tsi ²¹
Phuza ka ⁵⁵ tɕi ¹³	Qiliqiao ka ³⁵ tɕw ⁴⁴	Sanni ka ³³ tsi ⁵⁵	Xiangyun kǎ ⁵⁵ tɕw̃ ⁴²
Yi ɰ ³³ tɕi ²¹	Yunlong ka ³⁵ tɕw ⁴²	Zhoucheng ka ³⁵ tɕw ⁴²	rGyalrong kə-ʂo

k·n|dry · binary · 18 languages · enrichment 12×

Beijing kan ⁵⁵	Changsha kan ³³	Chengdu kan ⁴⁴	Fuzhou kaŋ ⁴⁴
Guangzhou kən ⁵⁵	Ha_erbin kan ⁴⁴	Kiranti_Limbu kaŋ ^o	Mandarin Chinese gan1
Nanchang kon ⁴²	Rengma aken	Rongcheng ken ³³⁴	Sangtam a·kong
Shenyang kan ³³	Sinitic_Beijing kan ⁵⁵	Sinitic_Longgang kən ³³	Xiamen kan ⁵⁵

Other

Fifty-five codes, more than half the appendix. It is not a residual category: it is the part of the Sino-Tibetan vocabulary collected in Lexibank that this appendix's thematic list — written for basic vocabulary — does not contemplate.

Here are the loans from the header — b·d·m|groundnut, p·t|grape, p·l|glass, l·s|chili pepper, k·n|steel, s·g|plough, m·l|sorghum, t·n|copper, n·n|silver — the highest-enrichment compound numeral, n·s·t|twenty one at 1545×, and also the best genealogical code on the list, s·r·m|otter, which crosses seven branches and sits at position 94.

Read this section knowing it mixes the two things and that the catalogue does not separate them: s·r·m and b·d·m look alike, share the same block format, and have enrichments of the same order. One is an etymon of millennia and the other arrived with the plant. The difference is not in the data this procedure looks at.

s·n|TWELVE · binary · 50 languages · enrichment 38×

Alo tsʰe ²¹ ni ⁵⁵	Diaocao tsʰei ⁵⁵ ŋ ²¹	Gepu tsʰɪ ³³ n ²¹	Hlepho tsʰə ²¹ n ³³
Kazhuo tshi ³³ ŋ ³¹	Laowu Nisu tɕʰi ²¹ ni ⁵⁵	Lisu tshi ⁴⁴ ni ³¹	Mangdi tɕʰɛ ⁵⁵ ŋ ²¹
Nasu tsʰe ²¹ ni ⁵⁵	Nipu tsʰw ²¹ ni ⁵⁵	Sani tsʰɪ ³³ n ²¹	Shuizhuping tsʰe ²⁴ ni ²¹
Tsum tɕuŋi	Xuzhang tɕʰi ⁴⁵ n ²¹	Yi tshi ³³ ni ²¹	Yijiacun tɕʰɛ ⁵⁵ ŋ ²¹

n·s|MEDICINE · binary · 45 languages · enrichment 48×

Alo nu ²¹ ts ^{hi33}	Azha na ⁴⁴ ʰh ⁱ³³	E Lolo nu ³³ tɕ ^{hi21}	Haoni na ³³ ʰhi ³¹
Kazhuo na ²⁴ ʰh ⁱ³¹	Lahu na ³³ ʰhi ³³	Lavu no ³³ ʰh ⁱ²¹	Lolopo no ³³ ʰhi ²¹
Narsu no ⁵⁵ tɕ ^{hi33}	Nersu no ²¹ ts ^{hi33}	Nusu ne ³³ ʰhi ³¹	Polo nu ²¹ ʰhɛ ¹³
Sani na ³³ ts ^{hi21}	Tolozha na ²¹ ts ^{hi33}	Yangliu nɛ ⁵⁵ ts ^{hi41}	Yi ne ³³ ts ^{hi21}

s·l|COTTON · binary · 34 languages · enrichment 87×

Alo su ³³ lu ³³	Black Lahu s ³³ l ⁵³	Hani sa ³¹ la ³¹	Hlepho sa ³³ la ³³
Lavu su ⁵⁵ lu ⁵⁵	Lisu sa ⁴⁴ la ³¹	Mondzi so ¹³ lo ¹³	Naso so ³³ lo ³³
Neshu so ⁵⁵ lo ⁵⁵	Nungic_Dulong sa ⁵⁵ la ⁵³	S. Muji sa ³³ la ³³	Sanni sa ³³ la ³³
Sodi sa ³³ lw ³³	Xuzhang so ⁴⁵ lo ²¹	Yi so ⁵⁵ lo ²¹	Yi sa ³⁴ lw ³³

s·t|ELEVEN · binary · 34 languages · enrichment 45×

Achang tɕhe ⁵⁵ ta ³¹	Axi tshi ³³ ti ⁵⁵	Diaocao ts ^{hei55} ti ²¹	Gepu ts ^{hi33} ti ⁵⁵
Haoni ts ^{he55} thi ³¹	Jinggu Limi ts ^{he33} thi ²¹	Laowu Nisu tɕ ^{hi21} ti ³³	Lisu tsh ⁱ⁴⁴ ti ⁵⁵
Narsu tɕ ^{he21} ti ³³	Nasu ts ^{he21} ti ³³	Neshu ts ^{hɣ21} ti ³³	Phuza ʰhə ³¹ ti ³³
Sanni tɕ ^{hi33} thi ⁵⁵	Tolozha ts ^{hi21} thɣ ⁵⁵	Xuzhang tɕ ^{hi45} thi ³³	Yi tshi ³³ ti ⁵⁵

s·n|ONION · binary · 32 languages · enrichment 24×

Beijing ts ^{huŋ55}	Bugun Kaspi tʃoŋ	Bugun Singchung tʃoŋ	Changsha ts ^{hən33}
Chug Parchu tʃoŋ	Fuzhou ts ^{høŋ44}	Hefei ts ^{həŋ212}	Kunming ts ^{hoŋ44}
Lowa tsoŋ	Miji Nafra tʃoŋ	Monpa Tawang Mona tʃoŋ	Nanchang ts ^{huŋ42}
Sema atsüna	Sherdukpen Rupa tʃoŋ	Wenzhou ts ^{hoŋ44}	Yangjiang tʃ ^h oŋ ³³

s·n|GOLD · binary · 30 languages · enrichment 12×

Angami Khonoma sona	Angami Kohima suna	Bugun Kaspi san	Bugun Singchung san
Chokri suna	Khoirao sana	Lawu tɕiŋ ⁵⁵	Mandarin Chinese jin1
Mao shana	Maring sana	Mzieme suna	Phon ʃaiŋ ⁵⁵
Rupa sin	Sema suna	Shergaon sin	Wancho sien

s·n|SHOE · binary · 30 languages · enrichment 16×

Alo tɕ ^{hi33} ne ²¹	Axi tɕhe ³³ no ³³	Diaocao tɕ ^{hi55} ne ³³	Gepu tɕ ^{hi33} ny ³³
Hlepho ʰh ⁱ²¹ no ²¹	Kazhuo tsh ⁱ³³ ne ³⁵	Kuansi ts ^{hi55} ni ³³	Lavu tɕ ^{hi55} ny ³³
Lisu tʃhi ⁴⁴ ne ³³	Narsu tɕ ^{hi33} ny ³³	Nasu tɕhe ⁵⁵ ne ²	Neshu tsh ⁱ⁵⁵ ny ³³
Phuza ʰh ⁱ³¹ na ⁵⁵	Sani ts ^{hi44} ny ⁴⁴	Yi tɕhi ⁵⁵ ny ³³	Yi ɕi ³⁴ ŋi ³³

d·z|COOKED RICE · binary · 27 languages · enrichment 86×

Alo dzu ²¹	Diaocao dza ²⁴	Jinggu Limi dzo ²⁴	Lavu dzu ⁵⁵
Mangdi dzo ⁵⁵	Mondzi dzo ¹³	Naso dzo ²¹	Nasu dzo ²¹
Neshu dzo ²¹	Sanni dza ³³	Shuizhuping dza ²⁴	Tolozha dzo ²¹
Yangliu dza ³³	Yi dzo ²¹	Yi dza ⁵⁵	Yijiacun dzo ²⁴

s·t|kill · binary · 27 languages · enrichment 20×

Achang sat ⁵⁵	Bantawa set	Deng sat ⁵⁵
Garo_Garo si+et+a	Guangzhou sat ³	Jingpho sat ³¹
Kiranti_Bahing sat	Kiranti_Hayu sit	Kiranti_Thulung set
Mandarin Chinese sha1diao4	Mbarkhams Situ siēt	Monpa Tawang Mona soto
Njorogs Khroskyab sat ⁵⁵	Nungic_Dulong sat ⁵⁵	Yangjiang jat ²¹
Zeme chat		

s·m|DREAM · binary · 26 languages · enrichment 23×

Alo zi ⁵⁵ ma ²¹	Axi ze21me33	Azhe zi21me22	Dimasa saomi
Khaling seme	Lavu z121ma33	Lipo z121mi33	Mondzi zi13mo44
Naso zi ⁵⁵ ma ³³	Nasu zi ⁵⁵ ma ³³	Neshu zi ²¹ me ²¹	Nipu ze ¹³ ma ⁵⁵
Samu d133mo33	Shuizhuping z1 ⁴⁵³ mi ³³	Up: Phola zi ³³ mo ³³	Yi z1 ²¹ ?me ³³

l·s|CHILI PEPPER · binary · 25 languages · enrichment 54×

Alo la ⁵⁵ tse ²¹	Axi l□ □ ⁵⁵ tsi ²¹	Hlepho la ⁵⁵ ts1 ²¹	Jianchuan la ³⁵ tsi ³³
Kua-nsi la ⁵⁵ dzi ²¹	Kunming la ³¹ tsi ⁵³	Lavu la ⁵⁵ tsi ³³	Mandarin Chinese la4jiao1
Nasu la ⁵⁵ tse ²¹	Phuza la ³¹ di ³³	Qiliqiao la ³⁵ tsi ³¹	Tolozla la ³³ tsi ⁵⁵
Xiangyun la ³⁵ tsi ³¹	Yi l□ □ ⁵⁵ tsi ²¹	Yi l□ □ ⁵⁵ tsi ³³	Yunlong la ³⁵ tsi ³¹

s·m|OLD PERSON · binary · 25 languages · enrichment 56×

Axi tshu ³³ mu ²¹	Azha tʰw ²¹ mu ²²	Diaocao tʰa ⁵⁵ ma ²¹	Gepu tʰw ³³ mu ²¹
Hlepho tʰə ²¹ ma ³³	Jinggu Limi tʰo ³³ mo ²¹	Lavu tʰa ⁵⁵ me ²¹	Lawu tʰu ⁵⁵ ma ³³
Mondzi tʰo ¹³ mo ²¹	Neshu tʰh□ ²¹ m□ ²¹	Phuza tʰʌ ³¹ mo ³¹	Sanni tʰu ³³ mo ²¹
Shuizhuping tʰa ²⁴ ma ²¹	Xuzhang tʰa ⁴⁵³ ma ²¹	Yangliu tʰu ³³ mu ²¹	Yi tshu ⁵⁵ mu ²¹

s·s|claw · binary · 25 languages · enrichment 16×

Angami Khonoma jetse	Axi tchi ³³ si ²¹	Bai tso ³¹ _tsi ⁴⁴
Changsha tsau ⁴¹ ts1 ⁰	Ersu dz1 ⁵⁵ _dz1 ⁵⁵	Guilin tsau ²³ tse ²³⁻³³
Jixi tsɤ ²¹³ ts1 ⁰	Kua-nsi tsʰ1 ⁵⁵ tʰi ³³	Lanping sdʒā ¹³
Loudi tsɤ ⁴² ts1 ⁰	Mandarin Chinese zhua3zi	Nasu tʰi ⁵⁵ tʰa ²¹
Nersu tʰi ¹³ sɤ ³³	Sodi ɕi ³³ sɪ ³³	Tolozla tʰi ⁵⁵ se ³³
Yi ɕi ³⁴ sɪ ³³		

m·s|BROOM · binary · 24 languages · enrichment 34×

Alo mi ³³ sw ²¹	Axi mi ³³ si ³³	Black Lahu mi ³¹ si ⁵⁴	Diaocao mə ⁵⁵ s1 ³³
Hlepho mi ⁵⁵ sw ²¹	Kazhuo mi ³³ sa ³⁵	Kuansi mɿ ⁵⁵ _s1 ³³	Lavu my ⁵⁵ su ³³
Narsu mi ⁵⁵ si ³³	Naso mi ³³ si ²¹	Neshu mi ⁵⁵ si ³³	Phuza mi ³³ si ⁵⁵
Sani mi ⁴⁴ si ⁴⁴	Sanni mi ³³ si ⁵¹	Tolozla mi ²¹ si ³³	Yi mi ⁵⁵ s1 ³³

s·n|FIREWOOD · binary · 24 languages · enrichment 10×

Bantawa siŋ	Daai Kal-im fiŋ	Gyalsumdo ɕiŋ	Jirel ɕiŋ
Kagate siŋ	Khaling saŋ	Kiranti_Limbu siŋ	Lawu ɕiŋ ⁵⁵
Manange 2fiŋ	Monpa Tembang ɕiŋ	Nungic_Dulong ɕiŋ ⁵⁵	Old Chinese sin
Rondu Balti šiŋ	Sherpa ɕiŋ	Tsum ɕiŋ	Wadu səŋ

d·z|RIDE · binary · 24 languages · enrichment 119×

Alo dzɔ ³³	Diaocao dze ²¹	Gepu dze ²¹	Hani dz1 ³¹
Lavu dzɔ ²¹	Lisu dzw ³¹	Naso dzɔ ³³	Nasu dzɔ ³³
Neshu dze ³³	Nipu dze ³³	Shuizhuping dze ²¹	Sodi dzi ³³
Xuzhang dze ²¹	Yangliu dze ²¹	Yi dze ²¹	Yi dzi ³³

n·s·t|TWENTY ONE · ternary · 24 languages · enrichment 1545×

Alo ɲi ⁵⁵ tse ³³ tʰa ²¹	Axi ni ²¹ tsi ³³ thi ²¹	Black Lahu ni ⁵³ tshi ³³ te ⁵³
Hani pi ³¹ tshe ⁵⁵ ti	Kua-nsi ɲi ²¹ tɕi ⁵⁵ ti ²¹	Lawu n ²¹ tɕhi ⁵⁵ thi ³³
Mondzi ni ⁴⁴ si ⁴⁴ to ⁴⁴	Narsu ni ²¹ tse ⁵⁵ thi ²¹	Nasu ɲi ⁵⁵ tse ³³ tʰa ²¹
Nersu ni ²¹ tsɿ ¹³ ti ³³	Nipu n ²¹ tsu ³³ thi ⁵⁵	Phuza ɲi ³³ tɕe ⁵⁵ tʰa ³³
Sanni n ²¹ tɕi ³³ thi ²¹	Shuizhuping ni ²¹ tse ²⁴ thi ⁵⁵	Yi ni ²¹ tsi ³³ thi ²¹
Yi n ²¹ tsi ⁵⁵ ti ²¹		

s·n|sing · binary · 24 languages · enrichment 10×

Achang tʃʰuŋ ⁵	Beijing tɕʰaŋ ⁵¹	Changsha tsʰan ⁵⁵
Chaozhou tsʰ.i.a.ŋ. ¹³	Deng_Yidu a ⁵⁵ o.ɕeŋ ⁵⁵	Guangzhou tsʰ.æ.ŋ. ³³
Ha_erbin tɕʰaŋ ⁵³	Jinan tɕʰaŋ ³¹	Maru tʃʰæuŋ ⁴³
Meixian tsʰoŋ ⁵³	Nanchang tsʰoŋ ²¹³	Nruanghmei son
Shuizhuping tɕʰaŋ ⁵⁵	Sinitic_Beijing tɕʰ.a.ŋ. ⁵¹	Sinitic_Longgang tsʰ.ɔ.ŋ. ⁵⁴
Wancho shun		

s·t|ASHAMED · binary · 24 languages · enrichment 79×

Alo ɕa ⁵⁵ to ³³	Diaocao ɕi ⁴² ta ⁵⁵	Hani sa ⁴⁴ to ⁵³	Jinggu Limi ɕi ⁵⁵ to ³³
Laowu Nisu sa ¹³ to ³³	Lavu ɕa ²¹ ta ⁵⁵	Mangdi ɕe ²¹ ta ⁵⁵	Mondzi ɕa ⁴⁴ to ⁴⁴
Naso ɕa ⁵⁵ to ³³	Nasu ɕa ⁵⁵ to ³³	Neshu ɕe ²¹ ta ⁵⁵	Nipu ɕa ³³ to ³³
Shuizhuping ɕi ⁵⁵ ta ³³	Xuzhang ɕi ³³ ta ³³	Yi ɕe ⁵⁵ ta ³³	Yi ɕe ²¹ tu ⁵⁵

n·m|YOUNGER SISTER (OF MAN) · binary · 24 languages · enrichment 130×

Black Lahu ɔ ³¹ ni ³³ mɿ ³³	E Lolo nw ⁵⁵ mo ³³	Hlepho e ⁵⁵ ɲa ⁵⁵ ma ³³	Jinggu Limi na ³³ mɔ ³³
Lipo ni ³³ mo ³³	Lisu pi ³³ ma ³³	Narsu ne ²¹ mo ²¹	Nasu ni ²¹ mɔ ⁵⁵
Phuza ɲi ⁵⁵ mɔ ³³	Sani nɿ ⁵⁵ ma ³³	Shuizhuping ne ²⁴ ma ³³	Sodi ɲi ³³ ma ⁵⁵
Xuzhang pɛ ²⁴ mo ³³	Yi nw ⁵⁵ mo ³³	Yi ɲi ²¹ mo ²¹	Yijiacun ne ²⁴ mo ³³

s·p|BOARD · binary · 23 languages · enrichment 58×

Alo si ²¹ pʰe ⁵⁵	Axi si ³³ _phi ²¹	Azha si ⁴⁴ pʰi ²²	Gepu si ³³ pʰi ²¹
Jinggu Limi ɕi ³³ pʰi ²¹	Mondzi si ⁴⁴ pʰi ²¹	Narsu ɕi ³³ pʰe ²¹	Nasu si ²¹ pʰe ⁵⁵
Nersu si ³³ pʰe ⁵⁵	Neshu si ³³ pʰi ²¹	Sani si ⁴⁴ pʰi ²¹	Sanni si ⁵¹ pʰi ²¹
Tolozha si ³³ pʰi ⁵⁵	Xuzhang sɿ ³⁵ pɛ ³³	Yi si ³³ pʰi ²¹	Yino si ³³ pʰi ²¹

l·s|BRACELET · binary · 23 languages · enrichment 88×

Alo la ⁵⁵ dzu ²¹	Axi le ²¹ tɕo ³³	Azha le ²² tɕa ²¹	Gepu li ²¹ tɕo ³³
Hlepho le ³³ ɬo ²¹	Kazhuo la ⁵³ tsɿ ³⁵	Laowu Nisu la ¹³ dzy ²¹	Lipo li ³³ tɕw ³³
Lisu le ³¹ dzu ³³	Mondzi lo ⁵³ dzo ¹³	Nasu la ⁵⁵ dzu ²¹	Nersu la ¹³ dzu ²¹
Nipu la ¹³ dzu ²¹	Phuza li ⁵⁵ tɕw ³¹	Tolozha le ²¹ dzu ²¹	Yi le ²¹ dzu ²¹

t·n|COPPER · binary · 23 languages · enrichment 46×

Achang toŋ ⁵⁵	Beijing tʰuŋ ³⁵	Bisu toŋ ⁵⁵	Chaozhou taŋ ⁵⁵
Chengdu tʰoŋ ³¹	Guangzhou tʰoŋ ²¹	Hefei tʰəŋ ⁵⁵	Kunming tʰoŋ ³¹
Lavu thoŋ ¹³	Maang thoŋ ³³	Mbarkhams Situ ɿ ³³ tʰoŋ	Meixian tʰuŋ ¹²
Nanchang tʰuŋ ²⁴	S.kong toŋ ³¹	Xiamen taŋ ²⁴	Yangjiang tʰoŋ ⁴⁴³

s·m|WALNUT · binary · 23 languages · enrichment 42×

Alo so ²¹ me ²¹	Diaocao sa ²¹ mei ²⁴	Gepu sa ²¹ mi ³³	Laowu Nisu sa ²¹ mei ²¹
Mondzi sa ²¹ mi ¹³	Naso sa ²¹ me ²¹	Nasu sa ²¹ me ²¹	Neshu sa ²¹ me ²¹
Nipu se ²¹ mi ²¹	Phuza sa ³³ mi ³¹	Sanni sa ²¹ mi ³³	Shuizhuping sa ²¹ me ²⁴
Xuzhang sa ²¹ my ²⁴	Yangliu sa ²¹ mi ³³	Yi se ²¹ mi ⁵⁵	Yi si ²¹ mi ³³

m·n|CAT · binary · 22 languages · enrichment 26×

Axi me ⁵⁵ ne ³³	Azha ma ⁴⁴ ne ³³	Black Lahu me ³⁵ ni ³³	Gepu me ⁵⁵ ne ³³
Jinggu Limi mi ⁵⁵ ne ⁵⁵	Kaang Kruk min	Lanping mu ¹¹ ni ⁵⁵	Laowu Nisu mi ⁵⁵ ne ³³
Lope mæ ³³ na ³³	Mikir meng	Nasu mæ ⁵⁵ ne ³³	Nocte miang
Nruanghmei meo ^{na}	Nusu mw ³³ ne ³¹	Sani mae ⁴⁴ nae ³³	Up: Phola mæ ³¹ ni ³³

m·n|DREAM · binary · 22 languages · enrichment 29×

Ao Mongsen a ^o mang	Caijia mən ²²	Chang mang	Chin_Hakha m.â/a.ŋ
Guangzhou mon ²²	Konyak mang	Lushai man	Mandarin Chinese mən
Mikir māng	Mzieme mang	Naga_Ukhrul men	Phom mang
Sinitic_Beijing mɤŋ ⁵¹	Sinitic_Jieyang man ¹¹	Tangkhuł mang	TibetoKinauri_Bya man

m·s|NOT HAVE · binary · 22 languages · enrichment 101×

Alo ma ²¹ dzo ²¹	Black Lahu m [□] 53tso ³¹	Burmese ma ⁵³ ci ⁵³	Hani ma ³¹ dza ³³
Haoni ma ³¹ tfa ³³	Kazhuo ma ³¹ tso ³²³	Mangdi ma ²¹ dza ⁵⁵	Mondzi ma ²¹ dzo ¹³
Nasu ma ²¹ dzo ²¹	Nersu ma ²¹ dzo ²¹	Neshu ma ²¹ dza ²¹	Sanni ma ²¹ dzu ³³
Shuizhuping ma ²¹ dzu ²⁴	Tolozā mɤ ³³ dzo ²¹	Yi m [□] 21dz [□] 21	Yi ma ²¹ dzu ⁵⁵

d·z|RAW · binary · 22 languages · enrichment 112×

Alo dzi ³³	Diaocao dzi ²¹	Gepu dze ²¹	Lavu dzi ²¹
Lisu e ⁵⁵ dzi ³¹	Narsu dze ³³	Nasu dzi ³³	Nersu dze ³³
Nipu dzɤ ³³	Sani dzi ²¹	Shuizhuping dzi ²¹	Tolozā dzɤ ⁵³
Xuzhang dzi ²¹	Yangliu dzi ²¹	Yi dzi ²¹	Yi dzi ³³

l·p|RING · binary · 22 languages · enrichment 96×

Alo la ⁵⁵ pe ²¹	Axi le ²¹ pi ⁵⁵	Azha le ²² pi ⁴⁵	Gepu li ²¹ pi ⁵⁵
Hlepho le ³³ pi ⁵⁵	Jinggu Limi le ²¹ pe ⁵⁵	Narsu la ²¹ pe ³³	Naso la ⁵⁵ pe ³³
Nersu la ¹³ pe ⁵⁵	Neshu le ²¹ pe ²¹	Nipu la ¹³ pi ⁵⁵	Sanni le ⁵⁵ pi ⁵¹
Sodi li ⁵⁵ pi ³³	Tolozā le ²¹ pu ⁵⁵	Yi le ²¹ pe ³³	Yi lo ⁵⁵ pi ³³

s·j|small · binary · 22 languages · enrichment 17×

Beijing ciau ²¹⁴	Caijia cje ²²	Changsha ciau ⁴¹	Guangzhou siu ³⁵
Ha_erbin ciau ²¹³	Hefei cio ²⁴	Jixi cie ²¹³	Kunming ciau ⁵³
Mandarin Chinese xiǎo	Mbarkhams Situ tsêj	Nanchang ciɛu ²¹³	Rongcheng siau ²¹⁴
Shenyang ciau ²¹³	Suzhou siæ ⁴¹	Tangut tsəj ¹	Xi_an ciau ⁵³

t·j|JUMP · binary · 21 languages · enrichment 76×

Beijing t ^h iau ⁵¹	Changsha t ^h iau ⁵⁵	Chaozhou t ^h iau ²¹³	Chengdu t ^h iau ¹³
Fuzhou t ^h iu ²¹³	Guangzhou t ^h iu ³³	Jinan t ^h io ²¹	Kuansi t ^h iau ³³
Kunming t ^h iau ¹³	Mandarin Chinese tiào	Nanchang t ^h ieu ²¹³	Shenyang t ^h iau ⁴¹
Suzhou t ^h iæ ⁵¹³	Xi_an t ^h iau ⁴⁵	Xiamen t ^h iau ¹¹	Yangjiang t ^h iu ²⁴

m·n|NO · binary · 21 languages · enrichment 47×

Alo ma ²¹ ŋe ²¹	Diaocao ma ²¹ ŋa ²⁴	Gepu ma ²¹ ŋw ³³	Hani ma ³¹ ŋx ⁵⁵
Mangdi ma ²¹ ŋo ⁵⁵	Mondzi ma ²¹ ŋe ⁵³	Narsu ma ²¹ ŋx ²¹	Nasu ma ²¹ ŋe ²¹
Nersu ma ²¹ ŋe ¹³	Neshu ma ²¹ ŋx ²¹	Sani ma ²¹ ŋae ³³	Sanni ma ²¹ ni ³³
Shuizhuping ma ²¹ ŋa ²⁴	Yangliu m ²¹ ŋa ³³	Yi m ²¹ ŋw ²¹	Yi ma ²¹ ŋa ⁵⁵

s·m|FLOUR · binary · 21 languages · enrichment 27×

Alo ʃu ³³ mu ²¹	Burmese dzõ ²² mõ ⁵³	Diaocao sa ⁵⁵ mə ³³	Kazhuo sa ²⁴ m ³³
Mondzi ʃo ¹³ mo ¹³	Narsu so ⁵⁵ mu ²¹	Naso ʃo ³³ mu ²¹	Nersu ʃu ¹³ mu ²¹
Neshu so ⁵⁵ mu ²¹	Nipu ʃu ³³ mu ²¹	Sodi ʃa ³³ mo ³³	Toloza ʃx ³³ mu ²¹
Up: Phola ʃa ⁵⁵ mu ³³	Yi so ⁵⁵ mu ²¹	Yi sa ⁵⁵ mu ³³	Yi ʃa ³³ mo ³⁴

p·l|CHEAP · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 83×

Alo p ^{hu} 33lɔ ²¹	Axi p ^{hu} 21lu ³³	Azha p ^{hy} 22lu ²¹	Gepu p ^{hu} 21lu ³³
Lavu p ^{hu} 21la ⁵⁵	Mondzi p ^{ho} 44lɔ ¹³	Narsu p ^{hu} 33la ²¹	Naso p ^{hu} 33lɔ ²¹
Nersu p ^{hi} 33lɔ ²¹	Neshu p ^{hu} 33lɔ ²¹	Nipu p ^{hu} 33lɔ ²¹	Sani p ^{hu} 21lɔ ³³
Sodi p ^{hu} 33lɔ ³³	Toloza p ^{hu} 33lɔ ²¹	Up: Phola p ^{hu} 33lɛ ³³	Yi p ^{hu} 33lɔ ²¹

s·n|DAY BEFORE YESTERDAY · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 18×

Black Lahu ɕ ³⁵ sɪ ³³ ni ³³	Diaocao sɪ ³³ ne ³³	E Lolo sɪ ³³ ni ³³	Eryuan tso ³³ ni ⁴⁴
Jinggu Limi ʃi ³³ ni ³³	Kazhuo sɪ ³³ ŋ ³²³	Kua-nsi sɪ ²¹ ni ³³	Laowu Nisu sɪ ⁵⁵ ni ²¹
Lisu ʃɪ ⁴⁴ ni ³³	Mangdi ʃi ³³ ni ³³	Neshu ʃɪ ³³ ni ²¹	Shuizhuping ʃɛ̃n ³³
Xuzhang ʃɪ ³³ ni ³³	Yangliu sɪ ³³ ni ³³	Yi sɪ ³³ ni ²¹	Yi ʃi ³³ ni ³³

p·t|GRAPE · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 380×

Achang p ^{hu} 31thau ³¹	Beijing p ^{hu} 35thau ⁰	Changsha pu ¹³ tau ¹³
Chaozhou p ^{hu} 55213tho ⁵⁵	Guangzhou p ^{hou} 21thou ²¹	Hefei p ^{hu} 55thɔ ⁵⁵
Jinan p ^{hu} 42thɔ ⁰	Kunming p ^{hu} 31thau ³¹⁴⁴	Meixian p ^{hu} 12thau ¹²
Nanchang p ^{hu} 24thau ²⁴	Nungic_Dulong pu ⁵³ to ⁵⁵	Shenyang p ^{hu} 35thau ⁰
Xiamen p ^{hu} 2433to ²⁴	Yangzhou p ^{hu} 34thɔ ³⁴	Yi p ^{hu} 21tho ³³
Yi p ^{hu} 21thao ³³		

s·p|HOST · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 69×

Alo si ²¹ p ^{hu} 33	Axi s ²¹ pho ²¹	Azha i ³³ se ³³ p ^{hɔ} 22	Black Lahu ɔ ³¹ se ³¹ phɔ ⁵³
Lisu si ⁴⁴ pha ³¹	Mangdi ʃi ⁵⁵ pho ²¹	Narsu se ²¹ p ^{ho} 33	Naso si ²¹ p ^{ho} 33
Neshu se ²¹ p ^{ho} 33	Nipu ʃe ²¹ p ^{hu} 33	Phuza e ⁵⁵ ʃe ³³ p ^{ha} 33	S. Muji i ²¹ so ³³ p ^{ha} 55
Sanni ʃi ²¹ pha ²¹	Up: Phola sə ³³ p ^{hɔ} 23	Yi se ²¹ p ^{ho} 33	Yi se ²¹ p ^{ho} 21

s·p|LUNG · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 20×

Axi tsi ³³ pu ³³	Azha i ²² ʰhy ³³ pɔ ³³	Black Lahu ɔ ³¹ tshi ⁵³ pho ⁵⁴	Chin_Hakha tsuap
Gepu ts ^{hi} 21pɔ ²¹	Hlepho ʰw ³³ p ^{hɔ} 21	Jinggu Limi si ²¹ pi ⁵⁵	Lahu ɔ31tshi53pho54
Lushai chuap	Rouruo ʰhue55phu33	S. Muji e ²¹ ʰha ²¹ pi ³³ a ²¹	Samu sɔ25pw22
Up: Phola ʰhi ⁵⁵ pi ³¹	WB a1shup4	Yi tshi ²¹ pw ⁵⁵	Zhaba tse ⁵⁵ pe ⁵⁵

n·n|SILVER · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 20×

Chaozhou ɲʌŋ ⁵⁵	Daaɪ Kal-im ɲʌn	Fuzhou ɲʌŋ ⁵²	Guangzhou ɲen ²¹
Konyak ɲɛɪn	Mro-Khimi Areong ɲʌn	Nocte ɲʌn	Phom ɲɛɪn
Rawngtu Weilong ɲʌn	Rupa ɲɪn	Sartang Darbu 1 ɲɪn	Sartang Khoina ɲɪn
Sherdukpen Rupa ɲɪn	Shergaon ɲɪn	Wancho ɲʌŋ	Yangjiang ɲen ⁴⁴³

k·n|STEEL · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 111×

Achang kaŋ ⁵⁵	Beijing kaŋ ⁵⁵	Changsha kaŋ ³³	Chaozhou kwŋ ²¹³
Fuzhou kaŋ ²¹³	Guangzhou kɔŋ ³³	Jinan kaŋ ²¹³	Meixian kɔŋ ⁴²
Nungic_Dulong kaŋ ⁵⁵	Shenyang kaŋ ³³	Suzhou kɔŋ ⁴⁴	Xi_an kaŋ ²¹
Yangjiang kɔŋ ²⁴	Yangzhou kaŋ ³¹	Yi kaŋ ³³	Zaiwa kaŋ ²¹

s·n|near · binary · 20 languages · enrichment 5×

Asho seŋ	Beijing tɕin ⁵¹	Caijia tɕin ³³	Changsha tɕin ⁴¹
Guilin tɕin ²⁵	Ha_erbin tɕin ⁵³	Kua-nsi dzu ^{55na21}	Kuansi dzu ^{55_nu21}
Mandarin Chinese jin ⁴	Nanchang tɕʰin ²¹	Nanjing tɕin ⁴⁴	Shuizhuping tɕin ⁴⁴
Stau tɕæ-ne	Suzhou dzin ²³¹	Taiyuan tɕin ⁴⁵	Xi_an tɕin ⁴⁴

s·s|DEW · binary · 19 languages · enrichment 12×

Alo tɕi ^{55zi21}	Axi tɕi ^{55zi33}	Azha tɕi ^{45zi21}
Batang Tibetan s.i. ^{13.0.tɕʰ.□.53}	Laowu Nisu tɕi ^{13zi21}	Lavu tɕw ^{21zi55}
Narsu tsɿ ^{21zi21}	Nersu tɕy ^{55zi21}	Neshu tsɿ ^{21zi21}
S. Muji tɕi ^{21ci33}	Sani tɕi ^{55zi33}	Tolozha tɕo ^{55zi21}
Up: Phola tɕi ^{23zi23}	Yi tsw ^{21zi21}	Yi tɕi ^{55zi33}
Yi tɕw ^{55zi33}		

n·k|LAST YEAR · binary · 19 languages · enrichment 95×

Alo a ^{21ni21khu55}	Axi o ^{55ni33khu21}	Azha ɛ ^{44ne33khu22}	E Lolo a ^{21ni33khu21}
Gepu a ^{21ni33khu21}	Hlepho a ^{33ne33khuoa55}	Mondzi ni ^{44khu53}	Narsu a ^{21ni33khu21}
Naso a ^{21ni21khu55}	Nasu a ^{21ni33khu55}	Neshu a ^{21ne33khu21}	Phuza a ^{33ni33khu55}
S. Muji a ^{21ni33khu21}	Sanni a ^{21ni51khu55}	Tolozha a ^{55nx33khu21}	Yi □ ^{21ne33khu21}

s·g|PLOUGH (INSTRUMENT) · binary · 19 languages · enrichment 258×

Alo si ^{21gu55}	Diaocao ɕi ^{33gu42}	E Lolo ɕi ^{33go21}	Jinggu Limi ɕi ^{33go21}
Kua-nsi si ^{33gu21}	Kuansi si ^{33_gu21}	Lavu si ^{33go21}	Lipo si ^{33go21}
Lisu si ^{35go41}	Naso si ^{21gu55}	Nasu si ^{21go55}	Sodi si ^{33go55}
Tolozha si ^{33go21}	Xuzhang si ^{33gu33}	Yi ɕi ^{33gu21}	Yi si ^{33gu55}

n·b|DEAF · binary · 19 languages · enrichment 116×

Gepu no ^{55bu21}	Hani na ^{31bo31}	Hlepho ɛ ^{33na55ba55}	Lavu nu ^{21ba21}
Lisu no ^{55bo31}	Naso no ^{33bo33}	Neshu no ^{55ba33}	Nocte naba
Phuza na ^{33bo33}	S. Muji na ^{33ba55}	Sanni na ^{21bu21}	Sodi nx ^{33bo33}
Tolozha na ^{21bo33}	Wancho naba	Xuzhang na ^{21ba21}	Yangliu na ^{21bu21}

k·n|EMPTY · binary · 19 languages · enrichment 34×

Beijing kʰʌŋ ⁵⁵	Changsha kʰən ³³	Chaozhou kʰaŋ ³³	Chengdu kʰoŋ ⁴⁴
Fuzhou kʰøŋ ⁴⁴	Hefei kʰəŋ ²¹²	Konyak kiang	Kunming kʰoŋ ⁴⁴
Mandarin Chinese kong1	Meixian kʰʌŋ ⁴⁴	Nanchang kʰʌŋ ⁴²	Shenyang kʰʌŋ ³³
Suzhou kʰoŋ ⁴⁴	Wenzhou kʰoŋ ⁴⁴	Xiamen kʰaŋ ⁵⁵	Yangzhou kʰoŋ ³¹

p·l|GLASS · binary · 19 languages · enrichment 330×

Beijing po ⁵⁵ li ⁰	Changsha po ³³ li ⁰	Chaozhou po ³³ ₂₃ li ⁵⁵	Fuzhou po ⁴⁴ le ⁵²
Guangzhou po ⁵⁵ lei ²¹ ₅₅	Jinan pə ²¹ li ⁰	Mandarin Chinese bo ¹¹ li	Meixian po ⁴⁴ li ¹²
Nanchang po ⁴² li ⁵⁵	Shenyang pə ³³ li ⁰	Suzhou pu ⁴⁴ li ²⁴ ₂₁	Xi_an po ²¹ li ⁰
Xiamen po ⁵⁵ ₃₃ le ²⁴	Yangjiang po ³³ lei ⁴⁴ ₃	Yangzhou po ³¹ li ⁴²	rGyalrong po_li

s·s|FLEA · binary · 18 languages · enrichment 11×

Alo ts ^{hi} ³³ ce ²¹	Azha t ^{hi} ³³ ce ²¹	Gepu t ^{hi} ²¹ ci ³³	Hlepho t ^{hi} ⁵⁵ si ³³
Kangding Minyag ʒʰatshi	Kazhuo tsh ⁱ ³¹ se ³³	Lavu t ^{hi} ²¹ ce ⁵⁵	Narsu t ^{hi} ³³ ce ²¹
Nersu ts ^{hi} ³³ ci ²¹	Neshu t ^{hi} ³³ ci ²¹	Phuza t ^{hi} ⁵⁵ ci ³³	S. Muji t ^{hi} ⁵⁵ ce ³³
Sani t ^{hi} ²¹ ci ³³	Sodi ts ^{hi} ³³ ci ³³	Up: Phola t ^{hi} ³³ ci ⁵⁵	Xia Tujia si ⁵⁴ si ³³

s·r|GOLD · binary · 18 languages · enrichment 51×

Chug Parchu ser	Gyalsumdo seɹ	Jirel seɹ	Kagate ser
Lish Lish ser	Lowa ser	Mikir ser	Monpa Kalaktang ser
Monpa Tembang ser	Rahung seɹ	Rondu Balti ser	Sartang Darbu 1 ser
Sartang Khoina ser	Sartang Khoitam ser	Sherpa ser	Tsum ser

b·d·m|GROUNDNUT (PEANUT) · ternary · 18 languages · enrichment 1086×

Bugun Bichom badam	Bugun Kaspi badam	Bugun Namphri badam
Bugun Singchung badam	Bugun Wangho badam	Chug Parchu badam
Jirel mbədam	Kagate bədam	Monpa Kalaktang badam
Monpa Tawang Mona badam	Monpa Tembang badam	Sartang Darbu 1 badam
Sartang Khoina badam	Sherdukpen Rupa badam	Sherpa bədam
Tsum bədam		

s·r·m|OTTER · ternary · 18 languages · enrichment 596×

Ao Chungli shirem	Ao Mongsen chiram	Chin_Hakha sàay+hrēm
Chug Parchu cə+ram	Guanyinqiao Khros sram ⁵³	Jingpho saram
Lushai sa+ram	Mbarkhams Situ srem	Naga_Ukhrul si+rem
Ngyaltsu Zbu srām	Nungic_Dulong sw ³¹ jām ⁵³	Old Tibetan sram
Rawngtu Weilong ja.ram	Siyuewu Khroskyab srām	Tani_Bokar cə+ram
Tshobdun srem		

t·l|RABBIT · binary · 18 languages · enrichment 93×

Diaocao t ^{hɔ} ³³ lɔ ³³	Hani tho31la33	Jinggu Limi t ^{hɔ} ²¹ lɔ ³³	Kua-nsi t ^{ha} ²¹ lɔ ³³
Lavu tha21lu33	Lipo thou21lo33	Lisu tho31la33	Narsu t ^{ha} ⁵⁵ lɔ ³³
Neshu th ^ɔ ⁵⁵ lɔ ²¹	Nusu tha33la31	Polo ta33lo55	Rouruo tha33lɔ35
Sanni t ^{hɔ} ⁵⁵ lɔ ⁵¹	Tolozha tho21la33	Yi th ^ɔ ²¹ lɔ ³³	Yi tha ³³ la ³³

m·l|SORGHUM · binary · 18 languages · enrichment 156×

Alo mu ²¹ lu ³³	Axi m ^ɔ ⁵⁵ lɔ ²¹	E Lolo mo ²¹ lu ⁵⁵	Gepu ma ⁵⁵ lɔ ²¹
Jinggu Limi mu ²¹ lu ⁵⁵	Lavu mu ²¹ lu ³³	Lipo ma ²² lɔ ⁵⁵	Lisu mu ⁴¹ lu ⁵⁵
Naso mu ²¹ lu ³³	Nasu mo ²¹ lu ³³	Nersu mu ²¹ lu ³³	Neshu mo ⁵⁵ lɔ ³³
S. Muji mo ³³ lu ⁵⁵	Sani mo ⁵⁵ lɔ ⁵⁵	Sanni ma ⁵⁵ lu ²¹	Tolozha mu ²¹ lu ³³

d·z|THORN · binary · 18 languages · enrichment 54×

Achang dzu ^{3 4}	Alo dzw ^{5 5}	Gepu dzw ^{2 1}	Lashi dzu ⁴
Maru dzau ⁴	Mondzi dzo ^{2 1}	Narsu dzw ^{2 1}	Naso dzw ^{5 5}
Nersu dzɤ ^{5 5}	Neshu dzw ^{2 1}	Nipu dzw ^{5 5}	Sani dzi ^{2 1}
Sanni dzu ^{2 1}	Xuzhang a ^{4 5} dzɤ ^{2 1}	Yangliu dzə ^{2 1}	Yi a ^{5 5} dzɤ ^{2 1}

s·n|dirty · binary · 18 languages · enrichment 7×

Ao Chungli jana	Beijing tsan ^{5 5}	Caijia tsən ⁵⁵	Changsha tsan ^{3 3}
Chengdu tsan ^{4 4}	Ekai Dalet Ywa Ha ɑ.so.hnɑ	Ha_erbin tsan ^{4 4}	Hani ɕɛ ^{3 3} nɔ ^{3 1}
Lashi a ^{3 1} +san ^{5 5}	Manange la-tsan	Mandarin Chinese zang1	Nanchang tsən ^{4 2}
Rongcheng tsan ^{5 2}	Shuizhuping tsan ^{3 3}	Sinitic_Beijing tsan ^{5 5}	Xi_an tsan ^{2 1}